I attended the conference as a military psychiatrist, in order to learn how different nations, especially Israel, manage disasters, both man-made, such as terrorist attacks, and natural, such as earthquakes and fires.

The conference was organized by the three chief Israeli Universities, the Israel Medical Association, and the World Association for Emergency and Disaster Medicine. It was divided into fifteen 'workshops', the majority running for half a day in parallel. During each workshop, an average of eight papers, each for 20 minutes, were presented. Of the total of 120 papers, 50 were from Israeli contributors, 25 from US, 10 from Sweden and seven from the UK. The workshops were organized under five main themes:

1. Organization of Emergency Services and Hospital Planning - 3 workshops.
3. Emergency Medical Treatment - 4 workshops.
4. Education and Training - 2 workshops.
5. Psychological Aspects of Disasters - 1 workshop.

I attended the first workshop on Organization of Emergency Services, “Socio-Economic Aspects of Disasters”, “Psychological Aspects of Disasters” and the all-day workshop “Education and Training for Disaster Situations”.

“Socio-Economic Aspects of Disasters” was chiefly useful for two Israeli papers, “Hospital Disaster Plan – Attention to Social and Personal Problems of Casualties and Relatives”, and “Helping a Community to cope with a systematic threat - a Social Service View”.

The first of these was a description of the non-medical parts of the Hospital Disaster Plan of the Hedassah Hospital, the chief hospital in Jerusalem. The plan has been in use for 12 years, and has frequently been needed, mainly after terrorist bomb explosions, and large scale road accidents. The plan is unusual in its emphasis on the psychological effects of disasters on victims and their families, to help them cope with both the immediate and long term stress. The immediate stress is alleviated by ensuring that they are not given contradictory, exaggerated or obscure information about injuries, a real danger is the often confused period immediately after a victim’s arrival in hospital. Special care is taken that information is coordinated between different hospital departments, and is ‘translated’ into easily understood, non-technical language. The press is encouraged to avoid sensational reporting. In the long term, the victim and his family are helped by visiting social workers, and sometimes psychiatrists, to adjust to injuries and express their worries and feelings, especially the feeling that neighbours and friends do not want to hear about a trauma that they themselves did not experience – a feeling well known to British Army psychiatrists among FEPOWs.

The other Israeli paper described the use of social scientists, social workers and psychologists by the Israeli Defence Force, to help civilians caught in ‘the front line’ in Galilee, living under frequent shelling during the two years before it was stopped by the war in South Lebanon. They found that the continuous danger was breaking down social cohesion, in contradiction to the traditional belief that societies unite under a common threat. An “every man for himself” attitude was prevalent, with resentment towards the authorities for not preventing the shelling, and bitterness among victims against unharmed neighbours. Systematic attempts at “team building” by public meetings were tried, with limited success. Negative feelings were aired and discussed. By providing a central staff and information service, the “every man for himself” ethos was to some extent broken down, and feelings of isolation and resentment overcome.

In contrast to the two Israeli papers, the other papers presented on the socio-economic aspects of disaster dealt in very general terms with natural catastrophes in under-developed countries, the 1976 Guatemala earthquake, and drought and flood in India. The emphasis was on long term planning.

The first afternoon’s workshop, “Psychological Aspects of Disasters”, again was chiefly contributed by Israeli speakers, although Col Levy, Chief Psychiatrists of the Israel Defence Force was unable to present his paper on systematic preparation for intervention in combat reaction. IDF psychiatrists and psychiatric social workers described psychological problems following ‘multivictim road accidents’ and the IDF, and after the freeing of hostages taken by terrorists in a school in Israel recently. The latter paper concentrated on the paradox that military action by the method of storming the building can cause more damage than the terrorists, with bitterness among the victims. The antidote is full explanation and discussion between military and civilians, so that the latter can understand the necessity of military action. The second Israeli paper dealt with a small scale but common disaster in the IDF, fatal crashes involving army trucks carrying soldiers, usually on poor mountain roads. The theme of the paper was the danger of disintegration of morale in the unit involved, because of ill feeling toward the driver and “survivor guilt” among the uninjured – a guilt familiar to British Army psychiatrists after the South Atlantic battles. Follow up of units involved in the accidents found that many uninjured soldiers had become psychologically unfit for...
further service but this could be prevented with some success by keeping the unit together and at work immediately after the accident. Previously, the soldiers had been sent on extended leave, on the basis that they did not have the opportunity to understand their feelings within their group. The new policy is to delay leave, and hold meetings in which the soldiers can talk to each other, encouraged by psychiatric social workers, about what they feel. The similarity to the management of battleshock is significant – immediate, extended withdrawal from the situation in which a trauma occurs perpetuating the psychological ill effects of trauma.

A third Israeli paper, which ended in a heated discussion about freedom of the press, was about the role of the press and the medical community in the Jordan West Bank epidemic of “mysterious gas poisoning” recently. This started at an Arab school, in an area where the Arabs felt specially threatened by new Israeli settlements, after an Israeli newspaper had published an article about a new nerve gas and suggested it was in the IDF’s possession. The first victims, all girls, claimed to have seen a cloud of gas in school, and developed symptoms such as a feeling of suffocation, limb paralysis and blindness. The Arab doctor of the local hospital was unable to examine them thoroughly because of the crowd of frightened friends who came with the girls to hospital. Because of a shortage of beds, the girls were kept together, and were accessible to the local press. The epidemic spread rapidly to other schools and was sensationaly reported in the local newspapers. It was stopped by removal of the girls to distant hospitals, sensationally reported in the local newspapers.

The second day was taken up by an all day workshop on “Education and Training for Disaster Situations”. During the first half, Israeli, US and Swedish contributors described their respective training programmes. Surprisingly, the IDF has recently found that newly qualified doctors are often seriously lacking in knowledge and experience in treating victims of trauma. Consequently the Surgeon General of the IDF has drawn up a compulsory curriculum in traumatology for all medical students, which includes experience with the ambulance service, and ends with a disaster exercise, a city devastated by an earthquake, to teach the importance of organization in response to disasters. The first US paper described the US military medical school’s curriculum, broadly similar to the Israeli one, the second US paper outlined the first US “International Diploma in Disaster Management” begun in 1982 at the University of Wisconsin. The Swedish paper described a test of cardio-pulmonary resuscitation techniques done without warning on the staff in one major hospital which found that only half the doctors and ambulance staff could perform adequately.

The second half of the workshop on training was an analysis of decision-making in disaster management, with descriptions of management exercises. Col Ritchie’s work at the Operational Research Branch of the Royal Military College of Science, Shrivenham, was presented by his research assistant. Col Ritchie has developed a three-day computer supported exercise, “Atlantis”, in role playing in positions of responsibility on a government disaster committee, after a five year study of 16 disasters worldwide. He came to the conclusion that more distress arose from administrative failures than from the natural phenomena of disasters. “Atlantis” can be used as part of a course in administration, or on its own as a management training exercise. The chief value of it is the use of a computer to integrate the trainee’s decisions, and provide feedback on their practicality. The Israeli paper described a computer management exercise in a narrower sphere, hospital administration. The US contributor, an anaesthetist, focused on that part of decision-making that falls on the medical staff, triage. He analysed the often neglected problem of exercises which are usually successful, but weaknesses are shown up in real life. His answer was that clinical decision-making in normal circumstances is too different from that needed in disasters, specially for hospital as opposed to community staff. Triage in disasters during the early stages, when local hospitals are overloaded, involves decisions that would be ruthless and unethical in normal times. He suggested, like Col Ritchie, that the use of computers in triage exercises was a valuable way of making them more lifelike. Another proposal for efficient triage was a system of ‘trauma scores’. Such a system was tested in nine hospitals in Oakland County, Michigan, USA, for four months during 1982. Scoring was done by the emergency team on arriving at the scene of road accidents, again by a doctor or nurse on arrival of the injured in hospital, and, finally, after a few weeks, by a hospital consultant, retrospectively from the notes. The first two scores were very similar, but the retrospective follow-up showed that a quarter of those scored initially as having minor injuries had needed intensive care, ie were in fact severely injured. Another major difficulty in triage was described by the Director of Emergency Services during the 1983 bushfire disaster in Victoria, Australia. This is the difficulty of sorting out the severely injured from the dazed, or psychologically shocked, when both were unable to give an account of their symptoms. This is the problem faced by military medical staff in distinguishing battleshock from physical injury. The Australian experience was that community staff, specially General
Practitioners were better at this kind of triage than hospital staff, being more aware of the often severe effects of psychological trauma.

There were many papers of direct military relevance that I was unable to hear, but could only read the abstracts. These were chiefly concerned with the Israelis' new techniques of prevention and treatment of injuries. Notable among them was a plastic surgeon's account of the IDF success in preventing burns in tank crews during the 1982 war in Lebanon. By strictly enforcing the wearing of fireproof combat suits, the proportion of those burnt who had burns over 10% of body surface or more was reduced from 79% to 50%, while those with the severest burns, over 40% of body surface, was reduced from 29% to 18%, compared to the 1973 Yom Kippur War. These dramatic figures did not apply to facial burns, the tank crews finding the facial masks unwearable. The development of an acceptable mask is a high priority. An Israeli eye surgeon told of the successful prevention of eye injuries during the 1982 war by visors.

Another series of significant papers presented by Israelis covered peace-time chemical accidents, with the implication that they take the possibility of chemical warfare seriously. But only one paper concerned nuclear warfare, presented by a member of 'the Israeli Association of Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War', and he dismissed the possibility of efficient management of such a disaster.

To sum up, the chief message of the contributors I heard was 'be prepared', and especially be ready for the psychological and social effects of disasters. Disaster plans must be frequently rehearsed and modified, and exercises can be made more lifelike by an emphasis on decision-making, and by the age of computers. Israel is the only "Western" nation in which disasters are almost an everyday occurrence, and has much to teach other nations. I found the conference of great interest, with many lessons for military psychiatry, and I am grateful for the opportunity to visit such a fascinating country as Israel.

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENTS

FRCS Lt Col C G Callow, MB, ChB, DPhysMed, RAMC.

MRCPsych Maj J S McPherson, MRCS, LRCP, DRCOG, RAMC.
Capt K C M Wilson, MB, ChB, RAMC.

MSc Maj P Rasor, MB, BS, RAMC.

MRCGP Maj R L D Jones, MB, ChB, RAMC.
Capt P M Mackay, MB, BS, RAMC.

Capt A G Paterson, MB, ChB, RAMC.
Capt A D Thomson, MB, BS, RAMC.
Maj F B Vella, MB, ChB, DRCOG, RAMC.

Honorary Consultants to the Army

Professor V M W Drury, OBE, FRCGP, was appointed Honorary Consultant in General Practice to the Army with effect from 16 October 1984.

This is a new appointment – Joint appointment with Dr J Fry.