Retinal Haemorrhage in Himalayan Mountaineers
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SUMMARY: The fundi of 14 climbers were monitored on ascent to 6000 metres over a 15 day period in the Nepal Himalaya. A total of 4 climbers experienced symptomless haemorrhages. These haemorrhages were not secondary to changes in haemoglobin concentration, nor did they appear correlated to the use of acetazolamide. The risk of developing retinal haemorrhage was, however, significantly greater in the better acclimatized individuals. Possible reasons for this relationship are discussed.

Introduction

Acute mountain sickness (AMS) is a condition that should be familiar to all mountaineers. First described in details in 1913 (1), it had previously been known as “soroche” or “puna” to the Andean Indians, and was thought to be related to poisonous vapours from the metal ores of the high mountains (2). Distinct clinical entities of the disease are now recognised (3). The familiar benign form of nausea, headaches, cough and breathlessness affects all climbers to a variable degree, and is probably the result of the normal physiological changes associated with acclimatization, rather than any distinct pathological process. The more severe forms are high altitude pulmonary oedema (HAPE) and high altitude cerebral oedema (HACE). These are life-threatening emergencies requiring immediate evacuation and treatment with oxygen and diuretics or dexamethasone. Knowledge of these conditions should be a particular priority to military personnel, when there may be a need to rapidly deploy troops to high altitudes. Ironically, much was learnt about AMS when thousands of Indian troops were unwisely flown up to Leh (3500 m) in Ledakh to face well-acclimatized Chinese soldiers approaching from Tibet. Almost 2000 of these men were incapacitated with the effects of acute mountain sickness (4). Indeed, this was the first clear account of retinal haemorrhage occurring at altitude, later confirmed in subsequent reports (5-9).

The pathogenesis of high altitude retinal haemorrhage is poorly understood, often being detected in symptomless individuals. Incidences of about 39-40% have been quoted for climbers ascending to 5300 m (5), 6000 m (8), and 8000 m (6), but only by repeated examination of the fundi of climbers; a complaint of visual symptoms is rare and usually only occurs if haemorrhage is very large or occludes the macula (7). Thus the detection of isolated small haemorrhages is usually without consequence, however, this is a pathological process involving vessels of the central nervous system. Is the appearance of retinal haemorrhage related to the onset of AMS? Could it be used as a warning sign for impending high altitude cerebral oedema?

The aim of this study was therefore to explore further the factors that may predispose to retinal haemorrhage by monitoring the fundi and development of typical AMS symptoms (10) in climbers ascending a 6000 m peak in Nepal. Acetazolamide (Diamox) used for acclimatization (11) also lowers intraocular pressure, and a severe secondary polycythaemia (from chronic hypoxia) could theoretically lead to retinal haemorrhage (12); these factors were also included in the analysis.

Methods

The study group consisted of 14 climbers (12 male and 2 female) from Exercise Blue Monsoon, organised by Oxford University Officers’ Training Corps. This study is relatively unique amongst other altitude studies, in that the climbers were well matched, being of a similar age (19-26 yrs), and none having previously ascended above 2000 m. The group took 15 days to climb from Kathmandu (1200 m) along the Rolwaling Himal and attempt two peaks: Mt. Lambochang (5984 m - successful) and Mt. Pachermo (6273 m - unsuccessful), before descending through Namche Bazaar to fly back to Kathmandu from Lukla (Fig. 1). Blood was taken for haemoglobin estimations immediately before leaving, and a few days after return to sea level. At their own decision, 6 climbers took acetazolamide for at least 7 days before attempting the peaks. Fundi were examined by the medical officer (an ophthalmologist) at sea level, 2000 m, 4000 m and immediately after descent from the highest peak at almost 6000 m. Examination was by direct ophthalmoscopy through tropicamide-induced pupil dilatation at all stages, and any haemorrhages seen were drawn on a pre-prepared fundus chart. At the same time, a history of altitude-related symptoms was recorded to assess the degree of AMS experienced by each subject (Table 1). Continued acetazolamide use was also confirmed at these stages where appropriate.

Statistical analysis tested the hypotheses that (a) retinal haemorrhage was unrelated to an increase in haemoglobin concentration and (b) retinal haemorrhage was unrelated to the severity of AMS (i.e. number of symptoms). Changes in haemoglobin concentration were assumed to

* now Clinical Research Fellow, Department of Human Anatomy, Oxford OX1 3QX
follow a normal distribution, and compared by the paired t-test for differences between the mean change in the group with haemorrhages to those with normal fundi. The distribution of the number of symptoms between those with haemorrhages and those with normal fundi was ranked, and compared by the Mann-Whitney U test.

Results
A total of 4 of the 14 climbers showed small retinal haemorrhages after the ascent to 6000 m (an additional climber was noted to have a small haemorrhage, but was excluded from the study as he had missed the pre-expedition check-up). The number of symptoms of AMS, haemoglobin changes, acetazolamide use and occurrence of retinal haemorrhage can be seen in Table 2. In the 4 positive cases, the haemorrhages were all smaller than one disc diameter. J.C. and J.L. had only one haemorrhage. O.H. had two haemorrhages in one eye, whilst J.H. had one haemorrhage in each eye. All haemorrhages were flame or wedge shaped, with an orientation consistent with origin from the nerve fibre layer and located within two disc diameters of the optic disc. No haemorrhages were either in contact with arteries or veins or involving the vitreous, nor did they cause any field defects that could be detected by basic clinical examination. All haemorrhages had resolved at the post-expedition check-up in Oxford 3 weeks later.

Table 1 shows the incidences of symptoms of AMS at different altitudes. Headache and shortness of breath were the commonest symptoms amongst the 14 member team. No symptoms were felt at 2000 m, only a few complained at 4000 m but this number more than doubled on ascent to 6000 m. Fortunately, only one person at 6000 m complained of the more severe symptom of inco-ordination.

Table 2 shows the relationship of the occurrence of haemorrhages to changes in haemoglobin, use of acetazolamide and number of symptoms of AMS. The mean increase in haemoglobin was 0.60 g/dl in those with haemorrhage and 0.05 g/dl in those without; this difference is not significant (t=1.29, v=12, p=0.11). The proportion of climbers using acetazolamide was greater in the group without haemorrhage (50% vs 25%), although these numbers are really too small for accurate statistical analysis. Ranking of the number of symptoms experienced by each climber at 6000 m resulted in the statistics of 
\[ U_1 = 0, U_2 = 40, n_1 = 4, n_2 = 10, p = 0.002 \]

Discussion
The results of this study show, quite contrary to initial expectations, that the probability of retinal haemorrhage is significantly higher in the group of climbers who seemed to be relatively well acclimatized, by virtue of having fewer symptoms of AMS. Although a severe polycythaemia may cause retinal haemorrhage (12,13), the acclimatized haemoglobin levels seen in this study (max 17.0 g/dl) were not of sufficient magnitude to cause this, and the slight increases seen were not significantly higher in those climbers with haemorrhage. Similarly,
would be difficult to assume a causative role for acetazolamide in the pathogenesis of retinal haemorrhage, as it was used less by those with haemorrhage than those without.

So, why should relatively well acclimatized climbers in this study be at higher risk of developing retinal haemorrhage? The retinal haemorrhages seen were not associated with retinal vein occlusion or papilloedema - these signs were absent on fundoscopy. This may suggest that although retinal haemorrhage is seen with these signs associated as acetazolamide in the pathogenesis of retinal haemorrhage, in the terminal stages of high altitude cerebral oedema would quickly and exercise with heavier loads, usually this haemorrhages was that since they were relatively free without.

Also, it is unlikely that the same factors are causing haemorrhage in this study. Similarly, if low arterial oxygen tensions are correlated to symptoms of AMS, as has been described by some authors (although this is still under debate), then this too could be excluded as a cause from the results of this study.

One major difference, however, in the climbers with haemorrhages was that since they were relatively free from the effects of AMS, they were able to climb much more quickly and exercise with heavier loads, usually being found at the front of the group. This in itself may predispose them to haemorrhage for reasons relating to pressure changes in the retina. Firstly, although altitude in itself does not cause a drop in intra-ocular pressure, intra-ocular pressure does fall during bouts of exercise, thus intra-ocular pressures may be relatively lower in the more active acclimatized subjects. Secondly, although all climbers will have elevated retinal venous pressure and flow at altitude, the more active members will have further peaks of venous pressure superimposed on this elevation. This is due to Valsalva effects of high intrathoracic pressures on straining being transmitted directly to the retinal veins, as no venous valves exist between the thorax and retina. Certainly, severe Valsalva manoeuvres alone can cause retinal haemorrhage in the absence of altitude, and the clinical picture is not unlike the altitude induced variety (19). One further point in support of exercise-related pressure changes having an aetiology in high altitude retinal haemorrhage, is that it is not observed in subjects whose movements are restricted at altitude or who remain sedentary in simulated altitude chambers, despite showing the other altitude-related vascular changes.

In conclusion, although the results of this study are limited, they do support a theory that high altitude retinal haemorrhage without papilloedema should not be thought of as part of the spectrum of benign mountain sickness, but rather a clinical sign with its own separate aetiology. It should be monitored purely for the sake of preventing long-term damage to the eye, and anyone with a detectable scotoma or progressive haemorrhage should naturally descend with the minimal exertion possible. There is no evidence in this study that it was related to a failure to acclimatize, and so monitoring its appearance as a prognostic indicator of severity of acute mountain sickness appears unsound.
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