Small Fragment Wounds : Biophysics, Pathophysiology and Principles of Management

PF Hill, DP Edwards, GW Bowyer

ABSTRACT

Military surgical doctrine has traditionally taught that all ballistic wounds should be formally managed by surgical intervention. There is now, however, both experimental and clinical evidence supporting the non-operative treatment of selected small fragment wounds. Low energy-transfer wounds affecting the soft tissues, without neuro-vascular compromise and with stable fracture patterns, may be suitable for early antibiotic treatment. The management of ballistic wounds to the gastro-intestinal tract requires surgical intervention but, advances in the treatment of these wounds, especially those involving the colon, may allow more effective treatment with a reduced morbidity.

Introduction

The most common wounds in modern warfare are caused by fragmenting munitions such as artillery shells, mortar bombs and grenades, rather than bullets (Table 1) (Ryan *et al* 1991).

Table 1. Distribution of wounding agents in casualties for wars and campaigns this century (%). (Ryan *et al* 1991). Israeli figures are complicated by inclusion of psychiatric casualties in the group designated 'other'.

	Bullets	Fragments	Other
World War I	39	61	-
World War II	10	85	5
Korea	7	92	1
Vietnam	52	44	4
Borneo	90	9	1
Northern Ireland	55	22	20
Israel 1982	11.6	53	35.5
Falkland Islands	31.8	55.8	12.4

Modern munitions aim to incapacitate soldiers by producing multiple wounds from very small fragments with low available kinetic energy (Figure 1). At the same time, the widespread use of personal body armour has altered the pattern of injury in the wounded and led to a predominance of multiple wounds to the limbs (Ryan *et al* 1991; Spalding *et al* 1991; Bowyer 1995) (Table 2).

Fig 1. (a) (above) Multiple wounds by small fragments to the buttocks and abdomen of an Afghan fighter. (b) (below) The radiograph shows the size and distribution of the fragments in the pelvic area.

Table 2. Distribution of injuries in casualties at surgery (%) (Ryan *et al* 1991). Israeli figures are complicated by inclusion of psychiatric casualties in the group designated 'other'.

	Head/neck	Chest	Abdomen	Limbs	Other
World War I	17	4	2	70	7
World War II	4	8	4	75	9
Korea	17	7	7	67	2
Vietnam	14	7	5	54	20
Borneo	12	12	20	56	-
Northern Ireland	20	15	15	50	-
Israel 1975	13	5	7	40	35
Israel 1982	14	4	5	41	36
Falkland Islands	14	7	12	67	1

Maj PF Hill M.Chir
FRCS RAMC
Specialist Registrar in Trauma and Orthopaedic Surgery
Royal Defence Medical College, Gosport, Hampshire, PO12 2AB, U.K.

Maj DP Edwards ChM
FRCS Ed RAMC
Specialist Registrar in General Surgery
Royal Defence Medical College, Gosport, Hampshire, PO12 2AB, U.K.

Mr GW Bowyer M.Chir
FRCS (Orth)
Consultant in Trauma and Orthopaedics
Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, SO16 6YD

The treatment of penetrating wounds involving only the soft tissues of limbs remains controversial. Previous military surgical doctrine dictated that, due to all missile wounds being bacterially contaminated, they should be managed surgically (Kirby & Blackburn 1981). There is both clinical and experimental evidence that suggests a non-operative approach may be appropriate for some of these wounds (Bowyer *et al* 1995; Bowyer 1997), even when there are low energy fractures (Hill *et al* 1998).

Similarly there remains controversy regarding the management of colonic injuries, especially when they are due to low energy projectiles in the military casualty. Experience from civilian trauma centres has provided data to support the primary closure of the majority of colonic injuries produced by low energy missiles. There remains some hesitation as to the applicability of these protocols to the management of military colonic wounds.

This paper sets out the current understanding of the mechanisms of injury caused by small fragments. The limb wounds under consideration consist of skin, muscle and bony injury, with or without a stable fracture pattern; injuries to neuro-vascular structures are not considered. Abdominal wounds are those of the gastro-intestinal tract, without major vascular injury, although consideration is given to the management of associated wounds of the paralumbar muscles.

The current understanding of the biophysics and pathophysiology of these wounds is presented, along with the scientific evidence on which treatment regimen have been developed. Clinical evidence for the efficacy of non-operative regimen for limb wounds is also presented.

MECHANISMS OF INJURY

Wounding Munitions

Many modern fragment munitions are designed to produce multiple pre-formed (or partially pre-formed) fragments of a

consistent size that offer the optimum compromise between range, velocity, probability of a hit and injury characteristics (Figure 2). The fragments have a mass of between 0.1-0.2g and diameter of about 2-3mm. Other exploding munitions may produce natural fragmentation particles which will vary in size from dust particles to metal pieces of mass greater than 20g (French & Callender 1962; Ryan *et al.* 1991). Initial velocities of the small fragments from both types of weapons will be very high (<1500 m/s) but, because of their irregular shape, velocities will decline rapidly.

Energy Transfer

The available kinetic energy (E) of a missile depends upon the mass (m) and velocity (v), according to the equation

$$E = 1/2mv^2$$

However, it is the amount of energy released to tissues, the energy transfer (ΔE), that determines the extent of injury, rather than the velocity of the projectile itself. As a consequence, high energy projectiles may produce *low energy-transfer wounds*. Energy transfer is also influenced by the tissues involved and projectile factors.

Ballistic wounds can be classified, according to the amount of energy causing them, into:

- high energy (>1000 J);
- medium energy (250-1000 J);
- low energy (<250 J).

As this classification is arbitrary there are no distinct boundaries between the groups. There is a trend in the amount of tissue destruction occurring in each group, as greater energy transfer equates to an increase in the amount of tissue destruction. Fragment munitions tend to produce low energy-transfer wounds.

As the projectile passes through the tissues it creates a wound track, the diameter of which is larger than that of the projectile itself. There are several reasons for this: the projectile tumbles as it passes through the tissues; a temporary cavity forms along the path of the projectile and the projectile may break-up and form secondary projectiles (Sellier & Kneubuehl 1994). When a projectile moves through a medium, the surrounding material is accelerated away from its path by the high pressures created around it (Woodruff 1898; Janzon 1983). This causes the formation of a temporary cavity behind the projectile, which is filled with air or vapour at sub-atmospheric pressure. Matter is sucked into this low-pressure cavity at the points of entry and exit (Dziemian & Herget 1950; Thoresby & Darlow 1967). At the moment of maximum expansion of the cavity, the energy transferred from the projectile causes rupture and laceration of the tissue as it retards the

Fig 2. Types of pre-formed fragments for anti-personnel and anti-materiel use. The lower centre "fragments" are flechettes.

Fig 3. Comminuted bone fracture from multiple anti-personnel fragments.

projectile's passage. Structures close to the projectile pathway may be damaged due to the pressure waves and the stretching and displacement caused by the temporary cavity, leaving zones of contusion and concussion, devitalised tissue and haemorrhage within and between muscle fibres (Wang *et al* 1990). The temporary cavity leaves behind a smaller permanent cavity containing fragments of necrotic muscle and blood clot. Temporary cavitation does not always cause a large zone of tissue damage and skeletal muscle is relatively tolerant of the insult, especially with low energy transfer wounds.

Small fragment munitions are also capable of causing fracture of bone (Figure 3). Experimental studies have shown that the minimum impact velocity required to penetrate bone is in the order of 65 m/s (Amato *et al* 1989; Loh & Lee 1990). This is an approximation and is largely independent of the mass of the projectile. When a low energy projectile strikes cancellous bone, it causes either a divot or a hole (Rose *et al* 1988). Depending on the velocity of the fragment and the thickness of the bone at the point of impact, the lesion may involve one or both cortices. Where there is little cancellous bone, such as in the diaphysis of a long bone, even a low energy strike can cause comminution, often with a large butterfly fragment (Huelke & Darling 1964).

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

The clinical consequences of wounding will depend on several factors: the tissue or organ involved, the extent of the injury (tissue damage and contamination) and the treatment provided (Table 3).

Table 3. Factors contributing to clinical outcome following wounding.

Factors determining injury	Note
Tissue/Organ injured	Sensitivity of tissues to injury and compensatory mechanisms vary, for example vascular injury and muscle injury with similar energy input will have very different effects
Extent of Tissue Damage	Effects of energy transfer
Extent and Nature of Contamination	Bacterial and foreign body contamination of the wound.
Medical Care	Quality and timing of intervention

The casualty with multiple small fragment wounds may have injuries which involve the eyes, arms and chest; each wound will have essentially the same order of magnitude of energy transfer, but the thoracic wound may be life threatening as a consequence of great vessel injury. The eye injuries may be expected to be immediately disabling whereas the limb wounds may be virtually unnoticed in the initial examination. The outcome for all of these wounds will depend on both the quality and timing of medical care.

Contamination of Limb Wounds

Material Contamination

All war wounds are contaminated by a combination of soil, clothing and skin. Weapon fragments have been shown experimentally to cut clothing and skin and to transport these into the wound (Ryan 1990). High velocity fragments tend to shred clothing into finer pieces and a high energy-transfer wound with a large temporary cavity will drive these particles throughout the temporary cavity so that contamination is dispersed widely away from the wound track (Ryan 1990). Contamination can be drawn into the wound from both the entry and exit wounds. By contrast, low energy transfer wounds will have limited contamination which is much closer to the wound track. Experimental studies of material contamination of small fragment wounds of muscle have shown that there is usually only minimal contamination of the track, with a few fibrils of material maximally distributed 2–3 cm along it (Bowyer *et al* 1995; Bowyer 1997).

Bacterial Contamination

The bacterial flora of a gunshot wound changes with time. Altemeier (1942) showed that the organisms found in wounds can be roughly divided into three groups.

1. Spore-bearing micro-organisms of faecal origin, such as the anaerobic gas forming bacteria *Clostridium welchii* and *Clostridium tetani*.

2. Non-spore-bearing microbes of faecal origin such as the enterococci, proteus species and *Escherichia coli*.
3. Pyogenic cocci, including the streptococci and staphylococci.

Fleming (1915) described three phases occurring in the bacterial colonisation of war wounds. In the primary stage, which lasts about one week, sporulating anaerobes and streptococci are the predominant organisms. In the secondary phase, lasting two to three weeks, a gradual transition occurs between sporulating anaerobes and pyogenic cocci. The tertiary phase is characterised by the disappearance of the anaerobes, but persistence of pyogenic cocci.

More recent studies have demonstrated the high contamination rates of traumatic open wounds of limbs. Gustilo and Anderson (1976) found that 70% of open fractures had positive wound cultures before treatment, whilst Patzakis and Ivler (1977) reported that 62% of initial wound cultures were positive. Robinson *et al* (1989) prospectively studied the microbiological flora contaminating eighty-nine open fractures. Fifty-eight per cent of the fractures were caused by work-related accidents and had only a moderate soft tissue injury. Wound cultures were positive in 83% of all fractures and a total of eighty-four strains of bacteria were isolated. In 39% of cultures, various species of aerobic Gram-negative rods were retrieved (most commonly *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*), whilst *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (35%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (26%) accounted for the rest. Staphylococci, streptococci and clostridia, all organisms normally harboured by the soil and human skin, have been cultured from military uniforms (Tikka 1982).

Natural History of Contamination

All open wounds are invariably contaminated, yet only a few develop infection. This implies that in certain circumstances, the systemic and local host defence mechanisms are successful at preventing the bacteria from reaching the critical level necessary for infection. French surgeons during the First World War were the first to suggest that the number of bacteria might be important (Hepburn 1919). Wounds more than fifteen hours old were debrided, cultured and packed open. If the culture plates showed no streptococci and fewer than five colonies of other organisms, delayed closure was performed. Otherwise, the wounds were left open and allowed to heal by secondary intention. These observations went unnoticed and quantitative bacteriology was ignored until Elek (1956) demonstrated that 7.5×10^6 staphylococcal organisms were required to produce a pustule in human skin. Similar

critical inoculum sizes were obtained by Cooney *et al* (1982), who, using quantitative microbiological assessments of upper extremity wounds, found that sepsis was unlikely to occur if the size of the inoculum was less than 10^5 organisms per gram of tissue. Twenty out of twenty-four patients with bacterial counts greater than 10^5 organisms per gram of tissue developed infection. The critical inoculum size of 10^5 organisms per gram of tissue has been supported by other studies (Robson *et al* 1973; Marshall *et al* 1976).

Elek (1956) found that the number of bacteria necessary to cause infection was reduced ten thousand-fold in the presence of a foreign body, which was modelled by using a single suture placed with the inoculum. Zimmerli *et al* (1982) found that one hundred colony-forming units of *Staphylococcus aureus* were sufficient to cause infection in 95% of polymethylmethacrylate tissue cages implanted under the skin of guinea pigs. When the cages were not present, even the injection of 10^8 organisms failed to produce a subcutaneous infection. These quantitative studies observed infection occurring in soft tissues, rather than bone. Bone is more resistant to primary infection and requires the addition of a fracture or necrosis or both, to increase its susceptibility to infection (Norden 1970).

Given favourable conditions, bacterial cells will multiply at a maximum rate which, when measured as the logarithm of the total number of cells, increases linearly with time (Thomas 1988). Therefore, the number of bacteria in a wound is time dependent. Consequently, wounds with a relatively small number of contaminants, given agreeable conditions for bacterial growth may, over a period of time, accumulate 10^5 organisms per gram of tissue, which increases the likelihood of infection.

Robson *et al* (1973) studied bacterial counts in civilian wounds at various time intervals. Wounds that were treated within two hours of injury contained less than one hundred organisms per gram of tissue, whereas those that were treated within three hours contained 10^2 - 10^5 organisms, whilst those that were treated up to five hours after injury contained more than 10^5 organisms per gram of tissue. All of the patients developing a wound infection demonstrated greater than 10^5 organisms per gram of tissue. Over half the patients with wounds containing greater than 10^5 organisms developed an infection.

Wound Excision

Friedrich (1898) had shown in the nineteenth century that if contaminated wounds were excised within six hours of creation, infection could be prevented. Miles *et al.* (1957) postulated that there was a decisive period lasting three to four hours

within which local defence mechanisms were able to prevent bacteria from establishing themselves. The cutaneous inflammatory response of the guinea pig to a variety of bacterial pathogens was investigated. It was found that, if at the time of inoculation local ischaemia was created by the injection of adrenaline or by the initiation of shock by dehydration, the maximum size attained by the local infective lesion was substantially increased. The greatest effect was seen if the enhancing agent was administered within two hours of inoculation. If it was introduced four to five hours after inoculation, the enhancing effect disappeared. This work was confirmed by Polk and Miles (1973), who used *Escherichia coli* to study the course of infection in the adductor muscle of mice. It was found that during the first few hours after inoculation, there was extensive killing of the bacteria by local defences, which ceased to operate after this period, and that the subsequent course of the local infection was determined by the number of bacteria surviving after this early decisive period.

To investigate the effect of differing lengths of time between wounding and débridement on the subsequent development of infection, Dahlgren *et al* (1981) produced fifty-four wounds in the thighs of pigs, without prior cleaning of the skin. Animals were divided into groups receiving wound débridement at either one, six or twelve hours after wounding and samples were taken after débridement from the wound margins, for bacteriological assessment. Heavy growth of bacteria in tissue samples was demonstrated in 11% of wounds six hours after infliction of trauma, but in 60% after a twelve hour delay. It was concluded that débridement should be performed within six hours to eradicate infection, as further delay allows the bacteria to become established.

Fragment Injuries to Gastro-intestinal Tract

Bowel, being a soft tissue, if injured by small fragments behaves similarly to soft tissue wounds of the limbs. Low energy fragments will lose energy as they pass through the abdominal wall; however there may be sufficient energy retained for the projectile to penetrate one, or both walls of bowel, causing both entry and exit wounds. Rarely, a missile may stop within the lumen of the bowel or breach the full thickness of the bowel and cause a blunt visceral injury.

The available energy of these projectiles is low and the wounds in bowel are small and discrete with little surrounding visceral injury (Figure 4). In an experimental study using 200mg steel fragments fired at 200m/s into porcine colon, the mean diameter of wounds produced was 6.1mm (Edwards 1998). There was almost no surrounding contusion, no mesenteric tearing and often little evidence of a colonic wound other than

Fig 4. Perforation of mesentery and small bowel by a small anti-personnel fragment simulator. The wounds are small and discrete.

mucosa partially protruding through a serosal defect. The presence or absence of intraluminal faeces and/or air did not appear to influence the wound characteristics.

Penetrating injury of the bowel will invariably lead to contamination of adjacent tissues with luminal contents, comprising micro-organisms, food material (of varying degrees of digestion) and digestive enzymes. This will lead to peritonitis, extra-peritoneal infection and sepsis. Local contamination may have an adverse effect on the healing of bowel wounds (Irvin & Hunt 1974), as well as predisposing to systemic illness. The priority in the management of bowel injuries must be to ensure no further displacement of bowel contents, either by the direct restoration of intestinal continuity and integrity, or by diverting the faecal stream to the body surface in the form of a stoma. The management of any exit wound track and its associated contamination remains controversial.

Healing of Bowel Wounds

Intra-abdominal trauma and infection readily create a local environment which is unfavourable for the healing of bowel (Irvin & Hunt 1974). The degree of primary trauma is related to the energy of the missile whereas secondary trauma is related to surgical mobilisation of the bowel and débridement of wound tracks. Irvin and Hunt demonstrated in rats that experimental colonic anastomoses had a significantly lower collagen content and tensile strength, and a higher rate of dehiscence, when performed over a paralumbar (psoas) muscle injury, compared with those performed over uninjured muscle (Irvin & Hunt 1974). By contrast, remote trauma (of limbs) did not result in these anastomotic weaknesses. Intra-abdominal and retroperitoneal infections have similar detrimental effects on bowel protein synthesis; the strength of experimental colonic anastomoses was markedly reduced in the presence of peritonitis (Ahrendt *et al* 1996).

The management of a retroperitoneal

wound track caused by a low energy fragment requires a balance to be struck between the removal of devitalised and contaminated tissue and the detrimental effects of creating further local trauma by débridement. Edwards *et al* (1999) aimed to quantify the degree of retrocolic trauma and risk of contamination caused by small fragments passing through the colon in an experimental study. Steel fragments (200mg) were fired at 200m/s through exteriorised porcine colon and caught in 20% gelatin. The fragments and resultant tracks were subjected to quantitative bacteriological examination to determine the extent of contamination. The median bacterial count for complete tracks was 1.2×10^4 cfu/g. Counts were highest in the initial 10mm of the track and then reduced along its length. In light of accepted clinical practice that casualties with penetrating bowel injury would receive antibiotic treatment, it was considered that data from this study did not support wound track excision in cases of retrocolic trauma following penetrating colonic injury. It was concluded that these procedures might only serve to increase local trauma and are therefore likely to prejudice colonic wound repair.

Although there is a large body of scientific data describing experimental colonic healing, few studies have examined the healing of multiple bowel wounds, especially in the presence of infection. At laparotomy in adult pigs, Edwards *et al* (1999) created multiple ballistic wounds of the colon using anti-personnel fragment projectiles. The colon was returned to the abdomen and colonic repair was performed at a second laparotomy, 6 hours after wounding. Colonic wounds were repaired using either a single layer of interrupted absorbable sutures, or stainless steel skin staples *in lieu* of sutures. Colonic wound healing was assessed clinically over a period of up to 14 days and at post-mortem, by biomechanical and histological examination. The healing of 209 colonic wounds in 18 pigs was assessed. There were 2 premature deaths due to sepsis. All repairs withstood intraluminal pressures in excess of physiological levels. There was no significant difference in the initial leak pressures of repairs using the two methods; however, histological examination showed stapled repairs healed more rapidly than sutured repairs. This study provided results to support definitive primary repair of colonic wounds caused by low energy missile fragments.

MANAGEMENT OF LIMB WOUNDS

Initial management of any limb wound must identify and treat any life-threatening injuries by established resuscitation methods (Gustilo *et al* 1990; Bowyer & Rossiter 1998). It is important to assess for any concomitant

vascular and neurological injuries in the injured limb (Barros D'Sa 1992).

Conventional Wound Management

The standard, or conventional, approach to ballistic wound management was developed from experiences in World War I and is based upon injuries caused by large fragments or bullets, and aimed at preventing wound infection. This consists of three distinct phases:

- early pre-operative wound care;
- surgical exploration of the wound;
- later closure or reconstruction of the wounded part.

The wound should be covered with a sterile dressing as soon as possible and left in place until the wound can be inspected in the operating theatre. Antibiotics play a critical role in preventing or delaying the onset of infection.

Antibiotics

Antibiotics play a role in preventing, or delaying, the onset of infection in ballistic wounds. Clostridia and beta-haemolytic streptococci have caused the major fatal infective complications of war wounds to limbs and antibiotic treatment has tended to rely on benzylpenicillin as the mainstay of the first line of treatment (Kirby & Blackburn 1981; Mellor *et al* 1997). Penicillin has been associated with a reduction in the morbidity and mortality of war wounds and there is strong experimental evidence to support its continued use (Thoresby & Matheson 1967; Mellor *et al* 1997). A ballistic fracture carries the additional risk of staphylococcal osteomyelitis and most pathogenic staphylococci are now resistant to benzylpenicillin. For this reason additional cover is needed with flucloxacillin or a cephalosporin (Mellor *et al* 1997).

Burke (1961) was the first to highlight the benefit of early administration of antibiotics. In a series of experiments using skin lesions in guinea pigs contaminated with *Staphylococcus aureus*, he found that as the time between injection of bacteria and the administration of penicillin increased, the effect of antibiotic decreased. The maximum antibiotic effect was observed when it was administered one hour before the wounds were inoculated. Penicillin injected more than three hours after the staphylococci were injected had no inhibitory effect on the size of the lesion.

In recent years a much less aggressive surgical approach, with non-operative management for simple low energy-transfer gunshot wounds has been reported from North America. Soft tissue wounds have been treated in some centres on an outpatient basis after wound irrigation. In one series of over 3000 patients, the overall infection rate was under 2% (Ordog *et al*

1993). About 40% of these received antibiotic treatment, but the incidence of infection (mostly *Staphylococcus aureus*) was not significantly reduced by this.

Small fragment wounds to the soft tissues of the limbs have been successfully treated with antibiotics alone in a combat setting. This has been shown in multiple grenade fragment wounds managed in a Red Cross Hospital on the Thai-Cambodian border (Coupland 1993) and in a similar setting on the Afghan border (Bowyer 1997). There was a very low infection rate in small fragment wounds involving the soft tissues in patients managed with early antibiotics (penicillin). There is experimental work to support this approach (Bowyer 1997).

Infection of Bone

Bowers *et al* (1973) examined the effect of administration of cephaloridine on the development of bone infection in osteotomies of the canine femur. *Staphylococcus aureus* was injected into the marrow cavity and the cortex subsequently replaced. When cephaloridine was given pre-operatively all wounds were sterile and did not become clinically infected but, when therapy was started six hours or later after contamination, infection occurred uniformly. No attempt was made to study the effect of antibiotics given at earlier time intervals.

More recently, Worlock *et al* (1988) produced evidence that the progressive diminution of antibiotic effect with time does not apply to experimental bone infections. An experimental model of post-traumatic osteomyelitis was developed using a fracture of the rabbit tibia contaminated with *Staphylococcus aureus* and stabilised with an intramedullary Kirschner wire. A single dose of cephradine was given at time intervals ranging from one hour before inoculation to four hours after inoculation. The frequency of osteomyelitis occurring in animals in the control group (no antibiotic) was 91%. The maximum reduction in the rate of infection was seen when the antibiotics were given before the inoculation with bacteria (30%), but antibiotics still produced a reduction in the infection rate if the first dose was delayed for up to four hours after inoculation (51%). The antibiotic was as effective after four hours as it was after a delay of one hour. However, although this appeared to be a trend, the number of animals used in each group was only ten and a statistically significant difference in the infection rate was only seen in the group treated one hour before inoculation. Unlike Burke's observations in soft tissue wounds, no progressive reduction in the effect of antibiotics was found with increasing delay in administering the first dose.

Hill *et al* (1998) have shown that antibiotics can be effective in preventing the development of osteomyelitis in an

undisplaced ballistic fracture not requiring stabilisation, if administered up to six hours after inoculation. Using a model of a ballistic fracture in the pig tibia, 10^7 cfu of *Staphylococcus aureus* were inoculated into the medullary canal and left for six hours. The animals were divided into two groups. Animals in the treatment group received intramuscular flucloxacillin and penicillin for five days, whilst those in the non-treatment group received no antibiotic treatment. All the animals in the non-treatment group developed osteomyelitis, whilst none in the treatment group developed disease.

Patzakis *et al* (1974) demonstrated a significant reduction in infection rates when open fractures were treated with high dose cephalosporins. A prospective study was undertaken to evaluate the use of antibiotics in the treatment of open fractures. Three hundred and ten patients were divided into groups receiving either penicillin and streptomycin, cephalothin or no antibiotics and the incidence of infection was found to be 13.9% in the control group, 9.7% in the group receiving penicillin and streptomycin and 2.3% in the group receiving cephalothin alone. There was a significant difference in the infection rate between the control group and those receiving cephalothin, but not between the control group and those receiving penicillin and streptomycin. The location of the fracture within the body, the age and gender of the patients and the mechanism of injury (direct trauma versus gunshot wound) were evenly distributed between the groups. Antibiotic therapy was started three hours from the time of injury and after bacteriology swabs had been taken. All open fractures were surgically debrided and each wound was irrigated with ten litres of 0.9% saline. Most patients had non-operative fixation of their fracture. Eight patients required immediate internal fixation, whilst twenty-eight required delayed internal fixation ten to twenty-one days after injury. These patients were evenly distributed between the groups. However, the severity of the injuries was not classified, so a criticism of this study is that no comparison could be made between the groups regarding this variable. Sixty per cent of the organisms cultured from the wounds of the patients treated with penicillin and streptomycin were resistant to the antibiotics compared to 20% of the organisms in the group treated with cephalothin.

Gustilo and Anderson (1976) advocated the routine use of high doses of intravenous antibiotics in the treatment of open fractures. One thousand and twenty-five open fractures of long bones were studied, of which 673 were studied retrospectively and 352 prospectively. The infection rate was found to be 2.5% in the prospective group. However, there was no control group for comparison that did not receive antibiotics, and so it is

difficult to be certain that antibiotic therapy contributed to the clinical result. Fractures with less soft tissue trauma (Gustilo Types I and II) had a lower infection rate than those that were Type III.

In a further study, Patzakis and Wilkins (1989) studied the factors influencing the infection rate in open fractures. Seventy-seven infections in 1104 open fracture wounds occurring over an eleven year period were evaluated to identify those factors that predisposed to infection. The single most important factor in reducing the infection rate was the early administration of antibiotics providing antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative micro-organisms.

Carefully selected civilian gunshot wounds involving bone have also been successfully treated by early wound irrigation, dressing, antibiotics and splintage (Hansraj *et al* 1995). These fractures, typically caused by low-energy hand gun bullets or shotgun pellets were typified by minimal bony and soft tissue damage with negligible displacement or devitalisation of bone fragments. They are seen in very large numbers in civilian practice in the US, where they are treated at many centres with simple wound cleansing and oral antibiotics. No surgical exploration was performed and patients were not usually admitted to hospital. Rose *et al* (1988) reported a retrospective study of twelve patients with either a drill hole or divot fracture of a long bone treated with local wound care and antibiotics only. There were no cases of infection. Marcus *et al* (1980) retrospectively compared the results of formal surgical débridement with débridement of the wound margins only and administration of antibiotics, and found no difference in the infection rates (3.8% versus 4.2% respectively).

Selection of Limb Wounds for Non-Operative Management

Having set out the case for non-operative management of selected limb wounds, it is important to consider how such wounds might be identified.

Risk factors for infection identified in the North American series from trauma centres, (Ordog *et al* 1993) included:

- a prolonged time between wounding and presentation for treatment (all >24 hr, mean >3 days);
- lack of basic wound cleansing before attendance;
- wound size between 1 and 2 cm;
- failure to comply with wound care instructions;
- low to medium energy transfer fractures.

These are similar to the criteria used by others, working in military environments, in deciding whether non-operative management might be appropriate (Coupland 1993;

Bowyer 1997). Essentially these wounds should:

- be small, with entry/exit no more than 1 cm;
- show no evidence of permanent cavitation within the wound;
- have no neuro-vascular compromise;
- not be associated with a compartment syndrome;
- have a stable fracture pattern;
- have no signs of infection;
- be treated early (by dressing and antibiotics).

These decisions require the surgeon to rely on the state of the wound track, inferred from the size and extent of the entry and exit wounds, considered together with the length of the wound track and the radiographic appearances such as the spread of the bony fragments. In some cases assessment of the soft tissue wound may require surgical exploration and hence, operative management.

A major concern in military surgery is how to distinguish those wounds that can be managed with non-operative treatment with prompt antibiotic cover, from those which require operation. In certain centres with clinical experience, the non-surgical approach may be a safe and efficient use of resources. However, thorough assessment of the injuries may require surgical exploration. If there is any doubt about the amount of non-viable tissue in the missile track, safe management requires formal operative exploration and débridement.

The default position should be to operate on any limb wounds in which there is any doubt about tissue viability, or where the casualty has had a delay between wounding and treatment. Associated injuries to neuro-vascular structures, swelling and the risk of compartment syndrome, or an unstable fracture pattern, will all mandate surgery. The luxury of observing a patient for several days to observe whether a wound infection develops may not be possible if early evacuation is required, in a military scenario.

THE MANAGEMENT OF GASTRO-INTESTINAL WOUNDS

Stomach and Small Intestine

The stomach and small intestine are relatively sterile and have an extremely good blood supply. The operative management of traumatic perforation of the upper gut is well accepted: repair with or without resection and thorough peritoneal lavage (Guarino *et al* 1995). Repair of the retroperitoneal duodenum, particularly with associated pancreatic injury can be troublesome and may require a limited resection, or diversion with a Roux loop or gastrojejunostomy (for anatomical rather than pathophysiological considerations). The identification of all

perforations caused by fragmenting munitions is essential, as a missed wound will result in continued leakage of enteric content and persisting intra-abdominal infection.

Colon

The bacterial contents of faeces, spilled at the time of colonic wounding, inevitably results in faecal peritonitis, leading in turn to sepsis. As a consequence, penetrating colonic injury is associated with a relatively high morbidity and mortality.

The treatment options for managing colonic wounds fall into two categories. Firstly exteriorisation of the colon with:

- colostomy;
- colostomy after resection of devitalised colon;
- proximal colostomy to defunction a distal repair or anastomosis, or
- exteriorisation of a repaired colonic wound.

Alternatively, the colon may be repaired primarily, either as a direct repair or by resection and anastomosis.

Exteriorisation became the standard protocol after World War II following the advice of Ogilvie (1944). The premise was that, if the faecal stream was diverted to the exterior, there was no risk of further peritoneal contamination as a result of possible colonic anastomotic failure. This surgical standard has been questioned, both experimentally and clinically.

Four randomised trials compared colostomy with primary colonic repair in the civilian management of colonic trauma (Chappuis *et al* 1991; Falcone *et al* 1992; Sasaki *et al* 1995; Gonzalez *et al* 2000). The injuries were caused by road traffic accidents and gunshot wounding (representing high energy transfer injuries), as well as shotgun and stab wounds (similar to low energy - transfer wounds). Complication rates were used as the prime method of comparison. Details of the results are shown in Table 4.

There were no significant differences between treatment groups with regard to age, sex, time delay from injury to surgery and mechanism of injury. Gunshot wounds were the most common forms of injury in all series (68%-93% of patients). These studies reported that primary colonic repair was

associated with a lower overall complication rate than colostomy, despite higher Penetrating Abdominal Trauma Index scores for the primary repair groups. In none of the series did a primary colonic wound repair dehiscence, whereas Chappuis *et al* reported three anastomotic failures despite proximal stoma and Sasaki *et al* reported two colostomy complications (a prolapse and a stomal necrosis). Moreover, there were only 4 deaths in all the series, 1 death in the primary repair group reported by Falcone *et al* and Gonzalez *et al* reported 2 deaths in the primary repair group and 1 in the colostomy group.

Exteriorised colonic repair, popularised by Okies *et al* (1974) in the early 1970's was a compromise procedure whereby a colonic wound was repaired but brought to the skin as a closed colostomy. When the patient had recovered and the colonic wound was seen to have healed, the colon was returned to the peritoneal cavity a week or two after wounding. This technique was limited to the treatment of a single colonic wound. Recent data supporting primary intraperitoneal colonic repair have consigned this technique to surgical history.

Rectum

Penetrating injury to the rectum occurs in two distinct patterns. Firstly, projectiles from fragmenting munitions can impact upon the front of the torso causing intraperitoneal trauma including injury to the intraperitoneal and (rarely) extraperitoneal rectum. Secondly, the rectum is at risk from anti-personnel landmines, where the characteristic pattern of injury includes the perineum. The anal sphincters and the extraperitoneal rectum are particularly vulnerable.

Wounds of the intraperitoneal rectum can be managed as colonic wounds. Anal sphincter injuries need repair; this is best achieved as a delayed procedure, therefore anal sphincter injury management should include a proximal defunctioning stoma. The optimum surgical treatment of extraperitoneal rectal injuries without anal sphincter damage is less clear. Options include simply a proximal stoma, the addition of rectal mobilisation from the abdomen with repair of the rectum, and

Table 4. Prospective, randomised trials comparing primary colonic repair with colostomy in the management of colonic injury.

Author	Total Patients	Low energy wounds	Number of patients per treatment group	Number (%) Complications	Deaths
Chappuis <i>et al</i> 1991	56	5	Primary Repair 28	10(36%)	0
			Colostomy 28	18 (64%)	0
Falcone <i>et al</i> 1992	22	3	Primary Repair 11	8 (73%)	1
			Colostomy 11	10 (91%)	0
Sasaki <i>et al</i> 1995	71	9	Primary Repair 43	8(19%)	0
			Colostomy 28	10 (36%)	0
Gonzalez <i>et al</i> 2000	176	15	Primary Repair 89	16 (18%)	2
			Colostomy 87	18 (21%)	1

possibly, the use of rectal washout and presacral drains (Levy *et al* 1995). There are no randomised data to support any one of these options. However, Ivatury *et al* (1991) selected 63% of extraperitoneal rectal injuries for treatment without repair and found no increase in pelvic infection, compared to those selected for repair.

Presacral drainage has not been shown to be of benefit in civilian rectal trauma. In a military practice, Armstrong *et al* (1973) found a 50% reduction in pelvic infection when presacral drainage was used. Of all the therapeutic options for rectal wound management distal rectal washout (DRW) is the most controversial, due to the fear that lavage fluid may extravasate within perirectal tissues and increase the risk of local infection. No benefit was found by Burch *et al* (1989) when they used DRW in 50% of (pre-selected) patients in civilian practice. Shannon *et al* (1988) found a decreased rate of septic complications in patients who had DRW and, in the military setting, Laverson and Cohen (1971), writing from the Vietnam War, reported a reduction of morbidity from 72% to 10% when DRW was employed.

Recent military practice appears to have followed civilian, rather than military, evidence. Two series from the Bosnia-Croatia War (Kovacic *et al* 1994; Stankovic *et al* 1996) reported 42 extraperitoneal rectal injuries managed without DRW and presacral drainage, and all except three employed a proximal colostomy. Clearly, evidence from controlled trials is required to answer this controversial area of general trauma surgery.

SUMMARY

Small fragment, low energy-transfer wounds are likely to increase in future military conflicts, invariably causing increased morbidity, rather than mortality. Wounds may affect any body region, although a predominance of limb wounds is predicted. There is both experimental and clinical evidence that limb wounds may be managed effectively by non-surgical protocols, although careful patient selection is required along with the recognition that, in some cases, surgical intervention will be required, often as part of the initial wound assessment. Small fragment injuries to the bowel will require surgical intervention and the potential for multiple wounding and the development of sepsis, must influence the surgical approach to the patient. Results from clinical and experimental studies have provided increasing support for the primary repair of certain colonic wounds, despite the presence of local infection, although this regimen has yet to be formally adopted into military doctrine.

References

- Ahrendt GM, Tantry US, Barbul A. Intra-abdominal sepsis impairs reparative collagen synthesis. *Am J Surg* 1996; **171**: 102-7.
- Altemeier WA. The bacteriology of war wounds. *Int Abst Surg* 1942;518-33.
- Amato JJ, Syracuse D, Seaver PR *et al* Bone as a secondary missile: an experimental study in fragmenting of bone by high velocity missiles. *J Trauma* 1989; **29**:609-12.
- Armstrong RG, Schmitt HJ, Patterson LT. Combat wounds of the extraperitoneal rectum. *Surgery* 1973; **74**: 570-4.
- Barros D'Sa AAB. Complex vascular and orthopaedic limb injuries. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1992; **74-B**:176-8.
- Bowers WH, Wilson FC, Greene WB. Antibiotic prophylaxis in experimental bone infections. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1973; **55-A**:795-807.
- Bowyer GW. Afghan war wounded-application of the Red Cross wound classification. *J Trauma* 1995; **38**:64-7.
- Bowyer GW. The management of small fragment wounds in modern warfare – a return to Hunterian principle? *Ann R C S Eng* 1997; **79**:175-82.
- Bowyer GW, Cooper GJ, Rice P. Management of small fragment wounds in war: current research. *Ann R C S Eng* 1995; **77**:131-4.
- Bowyer GW, Rossiter ND. Management of gunshot wounds of the limbs. [Instr Course Lect]. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1997; **79-B**:1031-6.
- Burch JM, Feliciano DV, Mattox K. Colostomy and drainage for civilian rectal injuries: is that all? *Ann Surg* 1989; **209**: 600-10.
- Burke JF. The effective period of preventative antibiotic action in experimental incisions and dermal lesions. *Surgery* 1961; **50**:161-8.
- Chappuis CW, Frey DL, Dietzen CD *et al* Management of penetrating colon injuries; a prospective randomised trial. *Ann Surg* 1991; **213**: 492-7.
- Cooney WP, Fitzgerald RH, Dobyns JH *et al* Quantitative wound cultures in upper extremity trauma. *J Trauma* 1982; **22**:112-7.
- Coupland RM. War Wounds of Limbs- Surgical Management. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd: 1993.
- Dahlgren B, Berlin R, Brandberg A *et al* Bacterial findings in the first twelve hours following experimental missile trauma. *Acta Chir Scand* 1981; **147**:513-8.
- Dziemian AJ, Herget CM. Physical aspects of the primary contamination of bullet wounds. *Milit Surg* 1950; **106**:294-9.
- Edwards DP. Primary repair of multiple colonic injuries. [Ch M Thesis]: Manchester: Manchester Univ.; 1998.
- Edwards DP, Brown D, Watkins PE. Should colon penetrating small missiles be removed? An experimental study of bacterial contamination of fragment simulating projectiles and retrocolic wound tracks. *J Invest Surg* 1999; **12**: 25-9.
- Edwards DP, Warren BF, Galbraith KA *et al* Comparison of techniques for the repair of experimental colonic perforations. *Brit J Surg* 1999; **86**: 514-7.
- Elek SD. Experimental Staphylococcal infections in the skin of man. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1956; **65**:85.
- Falcone RE, Wanamaker SR, Santanello SA *et al*. Colorectal trauma: Primary repair or anastomosis with intracolonic bypass vs. ostomy. *Dis Col Rectum* 1992; **35**: 957-63.
- Fleming A. On the bacteriology of septic wounds. *Lancet* 1915; **2**:638-43.
- French RW, Callender GR. Ballistic characteristics of wounding agents. In Heaton LD, Coates JB, Beyer JC, eds. Wound Ballistics. Washington. Office of the Surgeon General, 1962;91-141.
- Friedrich PL. Die aseptische Versorgung frischer Wunden unter Mitteilung von Their-Versuchen über Auskeimungszeit in frischen Wunden. *Arch Klin Chir* 1898; **57**:288-310.

- Gonzalez RP, Falimirski ME, Holevar MR. Further evaluation of colostomy in penetrating colon injury. *Am Surg* 2000; **66**: 342-6.
- Guarino J, Hassett JM, Luchette FA. Small bowel injuries: Mechanisms, patterns and outcome. *J Trauma* 1995; **39**: 1076-80.
- Gustilo RB, Anderson JT. Prevention of infection in the treatment of one thousand and twenty-five open fractures of long bones. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1976; **58-A**: 453-8.
- Gustilo RB, Merkow RL, Templeman D. The management of open fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1990; **72-A**: 299-304.
- Hansraj KK, Weaver LD, Todd AO. *et al* Efficacy of ceftriaxone versus cefazolin in the prophylactic management of extra-articular cortical violation of bone due to low-velocity gunshot wounds. *Orthop Clin North Am* 1995; **26**: 9-17.
- Hepburn HH. Delayed primary suture of wounds. *BMJ* 1919; **1**: 181-3.
- Hill PF, Brown D, Galbraith KA *et al*. The delayed treatment of ballistic fractures with antibiotics. Transactions of the 44th Annual Meeting Orthopedic Research Society, 1998 Mar 16-19, New Orleans, Louisiana. p. 282.
- Huelke DF, Darling H. Bone fractures produced by bullets. *J Forensic Sci* 1964; **9**: 461-9.
- Irvin TT, Hunt TK. The effect of trauma on colonic healing. *Brit J Surg* 1974; **61**: 430-436.
- Ivatury R, Licata J, Gunduz Y *et al*. Management options in penetrating rectal injuries. *Am Surg* 1991; **57**: 50-55.
- Janzon B. High energy missile trauma. A study of the mechanisms of wounding of muscle. Gothenburg: Engalls Väg; 1983. p. 147-63.
- Kirby G, Blackburn G. Field Surgery Pocket Book. London: Her Majesty's Stationary Office; 1981.
- Kovacic D, Lovric Z, Kondza G. War injuries of the colon and rectum. *Unfallchirurg* 1994; **97**: 378-381.
- Laverson GS, Cohen A. Management of rectal injuries. *Am J Surg* 1971; **122**: 226-230.
- Levy RD, Strauss P, Aladgem D *et al*. Extraperitoneal rectal gunshot injuries. *J Trauma* 1995; **38**: 273-277.
- Loh Y, Lee JS. Study of pig femoral fractures in vitro induced by missiles. *J Trauma (China)* 1990; **6 Suppl**: 79-81.
- Marshall KA, Edgerton MT, Rodeheaver GT *et al*. Quantitative microbiology: its application to hand injuries. *Am J Surg* 1976; **131**: 730-3.
- Mellor SG, Easmon CSF, Sanford JP. Wound contamination and antibiotics. In Ryan JM, Rich NM, Dale RF, Morgans BT, Cooper GJ, eds. *Ballistic Trauma*. London: Edward Arnold, 1997; 61-71.
- Miles AA, Miles EM, Burke J. The value and duration of defence reactions of the skin to the primary lodgement of bacteria. *Br J Exp Path* 1957; **38**: 79-96.
- Norden CW. Experimental osteomyelitis. I. A description of the model. *J Infect Dis* 1970; **122**: 410-18.
- Ogilvie WH. Abdominal wounds in the western desert. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1944; **78**: 225-238.
- Okies JE, Bricker DL, Jordan GL *et al*. Exteriorized primary repair of colonic injuries. *Am J Surg* 1972; **124**: 807-10.
- Ordog GJ, Sheppard GF, Wasserberger JS *et al*. Infection in minor gunshot wounds. *J Trauma* 1993; **34**: 358-65.
- Patzakis MJ, Harvey JP, Ivler D. The rôle of antibiotics in the management of open fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1974; **56-A**: 532-41.
- Patzakis MJ, Ivler D. Antibiotic and bacteriological considerations in open fractures. *South Med J* 1977; **70**: 46-8.
- Patzakis MJ, Wilkins J. Factors influencing infection rate in open fracture wounds. *Clin Orthop* 1989; **243**: 36-40.
- Polk HC, Miles AA. The decisive period in the primary infection of muscle by *Escherichia coli*. *Br J Exp Path* 1973; **54**: 99-109.
- Robinson D, Hadas N, Halperin N *et al*. Microbiologic flora contamination open fractures: its significance in the choice of primary antibiotic agents and the likelihood of deep wound infection. *J Orthop Trauma* 1989; **4**: 283-6.
- Robson MC, Duke WF, Krizek TJ. Rapid bacterial screening in the treatment of civilian wounds. *J Surg Res* 1973; **14**: 426-30.
- Rose SC, Fujisaki CK, Moore EE. Incomplete fractures associated with penetrating trauma: aetiology, appearance and natural history. *J Trauma* 1988; **28**: 106-9.
- Ryan JM. An enquiry into the nature of infection in fragment wounds. [MS Thesis]: Dublin: University College Dublin 1989.
- Ryan JM, Cooper GJ, Haywood IR *et al*. Field surgery on a future conventional battlefield: strategy and wound management. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1991; **73**: 13-20.
- Sellier KG, Kneubuehl BP. Wound ballistics and the scientific background. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1994.
- Sasaki LS, Allaben RD, Golwala R *et al*. Primary repair of colon injuries: A prospective randomized study. *J Trauma* 1995; **39**: 895-901.
- Shannon FL, Moore EE, Moore FA. Value of distal colon washout in civilian rectal trauma reducing gut bacterial translocation. *J Trauma* 1988; **28**: 989-94.
- Spalding TJW, Stewart MPM, Tulloch DN *et al*. Penetrating missile injuries in the Gulf war 1991. *Br J Surg* 1991; **78**: 1102-4.
- Stankovic N, Petrovic M, Drinkovic N *et al*. Colon and rectal war injuries. *J Trauma* 1996; **40**: S183-S188.
- Thomas CGA. Medical microbiology. 6th ed. London: Baillière Tindall; 1988.
- Thoresby FP, Darlow HM. The mechanisms of primary infection of bullet wounds. *Br J Surg* 1967; **54**: 359-61.
- Thoresby FP, Matheson JM. Gas gangrene of the high velocity missile wound. II: an experimental study of penicillin prophylaxis. *J R Army Corps* 1967; **113**: 36-9.
- Tikka S. The contamination of missile wounds with special reference to early antimicrobial therapy. *Acta Chir Scand [Suppl]* 1982; **508**: 281-7.
- Wang DW, Liu XT, Wang CR *et al*. The effect of injury to organs adjacent to the wound channel in 44 swine shot with steel balls and 22 wounded soldiers. *J Trauma (China)* 1990; **6 Suppl**: 101-4.
- Woodruff CE. The causes of the explosive effect of modern small calibre bullets. *NY Med J* 1898; **67**: 593-601.
- Worlock P, Slack R, Harvey L, *et al*. An experimental model of post-traumatic osteomyelitis in rabbits. *Br J Exp Path* 1988; **69**: 235-44.
- Zimmerli W, Waldvogel FA, Vaudaux P *et al*. Pathogenesis of foreign body infection: description and characteristics of an animal model. *J Infect Dis* 1982; **146**: 487-97.