Trismus due to bilateral mandibular coronoid hyperplasia.
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ABSTRACT
Bilateral mandibular coronoid hyperplasia is a rare cause of restricted mouth opening. Diagnosis of the condition prior to general anaesthetic is essential, as oral intubation may be impossible. The reported case illustrates the role of computed tomography in assessment of the disorder and effective treatment by coronoidectomy.

Introduction
Bilateral mandibular coronoid hyperplasia is a condition of insidious onset characterised by a painless limitation of mouth opening. The enlarged coronoid processes impinge on the zygomatic arches causing a physical obstruction to mandibular movements. Diagnosis of the condition is confirmed by an orthopantomograph (OPG). Computed tomography further details the region's anatomy and may reveal additional zygomatic pathology.

The following case report demonstrates the dramatic improvement in mouth opening which can be achieved through surgical intervention.

Case Report
A 28 year old male Sergeant of European descent was referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Princess Alexandra's Royal Air Force Hospital, Wroughton, for assessment of his restricted mouth opening. The patient first noticed the problem at 16 years of age and had previously been unsuccessfully treated at another dental hospital with bite raising and interdental screw appliances.

On clinical examination the patient's interincisal opening was limited to 15mm and the top of the right coronoid process could be palpated above the zygomatic arch. An OPG (Figure 1) showed unequal enlargement of the coronoid processes. Three-dimensional computed tomography (3-D CT) images were taken to detail more clearly the morphology and relationships of the coronoid processes (Figure 2). These revealed a large exostosis of the right zygomatic arch at the point of contact with the coronoid process (Figure 3).

Coronoidectomies were subsequently performed under general anaesthetic using an endotracheal intubation. In view of the gross enlargement of the coronoid process and additional zygomatic pathology, an external temporal/pre-auricular approach was made to the right side. The zygomatic arch was sectioned, pedicled from the masseter and following coronoidectomy, reconstituted with mini-plates. Opening improved to 30mm allowing an intra-oral approach to coronoidectomy on the left side.

Post-operatively the patient could open to 40mm and was encouraged to use an interdental screw appliance to help prevent fibrosis. Histological examination of the excised coronoid revealed normal mature bone, consistent with a diagnosis of hyperplasia.

Fig 1. Panoramic radiograph showing coronoid process (arrowed).
The patient’s improved opening has remained stable and he has no evidence of regrowth of the coronoid processes.

Discussion

Bilateral mandibular coronoid is insidious in onset and not associated with pain or deformity. Therefore, patients may be unaware that they have limited mouth opening (1). The condition may be life-threatening. Rusconi and Brusati (2) in 1963 reported a patient involved in a road traffic accident where tracheal intubation proved impossible and an emergency tracheostomy was required. Subsequently, diagnosis of bilateral mandibular coronoid hyperplasia was established as the cause of his restricted mouth opening.

The aetiology of bilateral mandibular coronoid hyperplasia remains unclear. There is a condition that is more common in males, with an onset in puberty indicating a possible endocrine influence (1). In animal models, hyperactivity of the temporalis muscle triggered by hypomobility of the temporomandibular joint has been found to cause reactive enlargement of the coronoid processes (3).

Previously bilateral and unilateral mandibular coronoid hyperplasia have been defined as two distinct entities (1). However, many cases display at least some abnormalities of the opposite side and it is likely that a continuous spectrum exists, from the pure unilateral case to complete involvement of both processes (4).

Bilateral mandibular coronoid hyperplasia may not be as rare as formerly believed. Of the 88 cases of the disorder in the Western literature 23 were identified at one unit alone (4). In a review of 163 patients with limited opening of the mandible, Isberg et al (5) found that 5% cases were due to enlargement of the coronoid process. As the
condition is neither painful nor associated with facial deformity, patients often fail to seek advice. Clinicians unfamiliar with the disorder may also fail to consider the diagnosis.

The Levandoski pantographic analysis of OPGs may be used to determine if a coronoid processes is enlarged (6). When the ratio of the length of the coronoid process to the condylar process on the radiograph is greater than 1.1 a diagnosis of coronoid process hyperplasia is suggested and further investigation of the condition is warranted.

Computed tomography accurately demonstrates the detailed anatomy of the region including the precise extent of the enlarged coronoid processes and their relationships to the zygomatic arches. This information assists the surgeon in evaluating whether an external temporal/pre-auricular or intra-oral approach to coronoidectomy is required.

Surgery is the only successful form of treatment. Awake fibreoptic intubation is the safest anaesthetic technique to use on patients diagnosed with this condition. In cases of extreme trismus, an external temporal/pre-auricular approach allows better surgical access to the region and avoids restrictive intra-oral scarring.

Regrowth of the coronoid processes following intra-oral coronoidectomies may occur. This may be due to regeneration of bone with haemotomata (7) or the influence of abnormal temporalis muscle fibres (4). Consequently, long term review of treated patients is recommended.

When assessing the airway prior to surgery, if there is restricted mouth opening, or if mandibular coronoid hyperplasia although rare, should be considered in the differential diagnosis.
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