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ABSTRACT

Objectives To explore the first period of sick leave in military patients following a traumatic battle injury, and the role of primary care. To identify if and where patients perceived difficulties.

Method Participants were recruited from The Defence Medical Rehabilitation Centre (DMRC) Headley Court on their second admission. Purposive sampling was used to access a range of different injuries and experiences. Nine patients were interviewed at DMRC where they were asked to recount their stories throughout rehabilitation. Thematic and structural analysis of the narrative accounts was applied.

Results The majority of problems encountered by the participants occurred during their initial period of sick leave between Royal Centre for Defence Medicine (RCDM), Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, and DMRC. Participants often had difficulty identifying who to contact if they had a problem on sick leave, with many ringing secondary care directly. Time spent travelling to medical reviews was identified as affecting the quality of leave.

Conclusions There is a need for greater patient understanding regarding whom to contact should they develop problems while on sick leave. A patient passport containing all discharge documentation and simplified contact details may help reduce patient confusion regarding whom to contact. GPs require greater awareness and understanding of the complexity of these patients’ injuries and the need for early secondary care review to prevent delayed or inappropriate admissions. Most problems that patients face will occur on their first period of sick leave. Reducing the time spent on sick leave before admission to DMRC would limit the likelihood of problems occurring at this high-risk time.

INTRODUCTION

The UK has committed forces to fight alongside NATO allies in Afghanistan since 2001. The number of fatalities and injuries sustained has increased significantly since January 2006, when an additional 3700 troops were deployed as part of the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) stabilisation force. To date, 401 British personnel have been killed by hostile action since the start of operations in 2001; for every service person killed in action approximately five more are injured.

Improvements in battlefield care and equipment have been credited for reducing the mortality rates of those injured in combat to between 10% and 16%. The combination of an increase in casualties and improved survival rates has resulted in an increased number of patients requiring rehabilitation.

Patients are admitted to the Defence Medical Rehabilitation Centre (DMRC) for treatment blocks of between 4 and 6 weeks. This model of short, high-intensity rehabilitation has proved very successful and has recently been adopted by the Canadian military. Depending on the severity of their injuries some patients spend time on sick leave before attending DMRC, and most patients will have periods of sick leave between rehabilitation admissions. Sick leave is usually spent either at home or close to family where their care is managed by their local GP. This research explores the initial period of GP involvement in the rehabilitation process as there is no published literature exploring this.

The lack of literature could be explained by different healthcare systems; primary care systems in the USA are not as well developed as in many parts of Europe. US soldiers are cared for in rehabilitation centres called ‘Warrior Transition Units’, or discharged from the military to be cared for as veterans. The long-term follow-up of US military veterans has been documented in cohort studies following the Vietnam War. Dougherty demonstrated that soldiers who sustained multiple injuries suffer significantly more disability, and are likely to have the greatest long-term need from general practice.
High-quality early rehabilitation can have a significant impact on improving the outcome for injured servicemen with rehabilitation often advancing during periods of conflict.

Recent advances in rehabilitation and improvements in prostheses have been shown to improve quality of life leading to a greater number of soldiers returning to duty than in previous conflicts. A lack of rehabilitation or poor quality rehabilitation is likely to lead to chronic problems, many of which could present to general practice in the future. While patients are in hospital at the Royal Centre for Defence Medicine (RCDM) or at DMRC they are well supported and monitored for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Acutely, patients may have difficulty adjusting to their injuries and may be reluctant to seek help. PTSD in patients injured or exposed to conflict commonly develops after several months; therefore, GPs must be aware of this when patients are returning to their care after and during rehabilitation. Other psychological problems may not manifest themselves until years later; this has been identified in recent guidance for GPs on treating veterans.

The literature contains no studies relating to GP involvement in the care of injured UK service personnel during or following rehabilitation. This study aims to explore the transition between rehabilitation and primary care in the military. It describes patient experiences of rehabilitation and subsequent interaction with primary care, and identify areas where patients faced difficulties through analysis of narrative accounts.

METHODS

Qualitative research methods were used to fully understand if, and in what ways, patients perceived there to be problems in the care that they receive from general practice, and to gain an appreciation of the patients' journey through the rehabilitation process. An 'emotionalist' approach, as described by Silverman, was used to permit a more authentic account of the patients' subjective experiences. It allowed issues pertinent to the patient to be highlighted through their own account. Purposeful sampling was used within the bounds of the limited patient population, to ensure there was a range of injuries, mechanism of injuries and experiences. It was anticipated that the interview process would generate a large volume of data. This, in conjunction with the time-consuming nature of the data gathering and the potentially limited pool of patients, restricted the size of the study to between eight and twelve patients. This number was appropriate because of the time constraints placed on the project and the in-depth nature of the analysis.

Patients were recruited from the complex trauma unit at DMRC Headley Court in August 2011 following ethical approval; interviews took place between 4 October and 22 November 2011. To be included in the study, patients had to meet four inclusion criteria (Box 1). Participants were excluded from the study if they were so cognitively impaired as to make discussion of their care impossible, for example, through brain injury. Patients had to be on their second admission to ensure that they had spent at least one period of time on sick leave or contact with primary care were directed to these areas once they had finished recounting their story. When participants made brief reference to their experiences on sick leave or interaction with primary care they were encouraged to elaborate. To ensure that all concerns were covered, prior to ending the interview, participants were asked if there was 'anything else they would like to add' and if 'there were any areas of their care that could be improved for others in the future?'

Thematic and structural analysis was performed on the patient narratives to triangulate the data, increasing validity. A framework approach to coding was used, with the coding being an iterative process. 'Descriptive codes' were applied to single words or small sections of text during the first cycle of coding. A second cycle of focused thematic coding was then applied. Computer-assisted qualitative data analysis software (NVivo9), aided the organisation of the coding process. Structural analysis was used to break the narratives down into a timeline of events and to provide triangulation, increasing the validity of the data. Individual codes were grouped to produce a list of themes. Due to the richness of the data generated by the narratives, certain themes were removed from the analysis as they were not related to the research question. Participants are identified by the letters A-I in the results below.

RESULTS

Nine servicemen were interviewed about their experiences through rehabilitation. They ranged in rank from Private or Marine to Major, and in age from 22 years to 34 years old. All were recruited from the Army or Marines due to the patient population at the time of recruitment. Participants had sustained a range of injuries including bilateral lower limb amputations, upper limb injury, nerve injury, unilateral above-knee amputations, lung injury, fractures and fragmentation wounds. Injuries were caused by improvised explosive devices (IED) and gunshot wounds. The participants included both regular and reservists; British and Commonwealth nationals.

Thematic and structural coding and analysis of the interviews revealed eight key themes which were divided into those relating directly to general practice and those relating to sick leave (Box 2). This paper discusses those themes relating to general practice.
The majority of problems encountered on sick leave occurred during the first period of leave before admission to DMRC

Structural analysis using a timeline of events from each narrative showed that only two of the nine participants had been admitted directly from hospital to DMRC. The remaining seven participants had spent time either at home, or in alternative accommodation on sick leave prior to their first admission. Six of the participants who spent time on sick leave described difficulties during this time (Boxes 3 and 4). They described a range of problems; mobility difficulties, such as wheelchair access, accidents requiring hospital treatment and readmission to hospital with wound infections. Several participants described over-exerting themselves while on leave (Box 3). Participants were more functional during sick leave following admission to DMRC, being able to consolidate the skills they had acquired during the admission. This resulted in more productive and enjoyable sick leave, with most of the participants able to go home and one even going on holiday (Box 4).

Primary care provision should be local to reduce time spent travelling to medical appointments while on sick leave

Travelling for regular reviews with a military medical officer caused a significant disturbance to sick leave for four participants, especially those who were reservists (Box 5). One participant had been away for such a long time and coming back it was almost as if they were coming back to another world. They had to adjust to life at home and there was a lot of catching up to do to adapt to normal life. The third day into this leave (because I had three and a half weeks off) I just thought, no I’m just going to leave them (crutches) in my car... I walked basically just by leaving my crutches in one place and not using them. I walked about three-quarters of the way to Headley without walking on my crutches. I was raring to go.

Box 3 The majority of problems encountered on sick leave occurred during the first period of leave

**Accident on sick leave**

“I slipped on a bit of water and I fell on my stump...that put me basically in bed for like three or four days.” [I]

**Readmission to hospital**

“Ultimately when I came here (DMRC) and the consultant saw it (fracture blister), straight away he was like “I’m not happy with that, you’re going back to Birmingham tonight” sort of thing.” [G]

**Not ready for sick leave**

“I had that two weeks sick leave so in the first week I felt absolutely awful. Didn’t sleep, couldn’t sleep. So yeah, quite looked forward to getting to Headley because then, it’s kind of, there’s more care... yeah I probably wasn’t actually ready to go home if I’m honest.” [B]

“It was not that great I mean I don’t think leave’s the best thing after Birmingham because you were just so restricted... so yeah I personally think you should come straight here (DMRC) and maybe have a long weekend... but two weeks off is just dramas.” [I]

**Initial difficulties on sick leave**

“There’s one door in my house... which has a door knob not a handle... I remember just like flashing at the door because I couldn’t get in or out, just getting in and out the house was just stupid.” [C]

**Overexertion on sick leave**

“That second week I then overexerted a bit although it wasn’t doing much, just trying to help out around the house...” [B]

**Wheelchair access**

“Although in the entrance and exit of this place has steps or had a step, they only had one ramp. So if you wanted to go from the room to, you know... you had to take the ramp with you which was this big, felt like it was f** king cast iron.” [A]

**Box 4 Sick leave following Defence Medical Rehabilitation Centre admission provides the opportunity for recovery and development**

**Enjoyable leave**

“They sent me home for a month...it was good leave you know I mean. The first week my missus took off with me... And then my parents came over...this was the first time they had seen me up and about.” [A]

“I went to Bermuda and I think that was just what the doctor ordered, like it was the first break not just for me but for my family and for my missus most important like...” [C]

**Sick leave to recover**

“I had three weeks at home which was brilliant because I didn’t see any one. I was left to my own devices which done me the world of good...it was a nice three weeks at home and I come here now and I’m raring to go.” [F]

“I wanted as much time to myself as I could possibly get because I hadn’t been home in eight months...I just kind of sat in the house and basically enjoyed sitting in the house.” [H]

**Progression**

“The third day into this leave (because I had three and a half weeks off) I just thought, no I’m just going to leave them (crutches) in my car... I walked basically just by leaving my crutches in one place and not using them. I walked about three and a half, four K’s towards the end of my leave so I think that, that’s helped me progress really quickly.” [I]

**Normality/family life**

“Time is a good healer so I was able to help out more at home a lot more. My wife had quite a bit of consultancy work on so I would look after the kids...” [B]

“I’d been away for such a long time and coming back it was quite hard, well sort of fitting back into normal family life, just trying to get a bit of normality for three weeks.” [F]
participant was able to negotiate a review with a local NHS doctor to reduce the travel time for dressings, however, other participants were not aware of this option. The frequency of travel for wound review was described as ‘stressful’ by one participant, and this resulted in them starting their rehabilitation at Headley Court tired and in the ‘wrong mind frame’ (Box 5).

**Difficulties identifying a primary point of contact for medical problems on sick leave means patients contact secondary care directly**

On discharge from RCDM, patients are issued with a ‘patient passport’ which contains all the contact numbers and details they require should they encounter a problem while on sick leave (Box 6). Patients have to sign that they have been issued a passport and had it explained to them. Only three participants made any reference to a “patient passport” [G] or “envelope of documents.” [D]. “I had a document that they gave me in Birmingham that basically was a summary of my injuries and what medication I was on and what had happened... only a two pager.” [B]

However, five participants were aware that they should contact their medical centre in the first instance should they have had a problem (Box 6). “I didn’t go to my Med Centre on camp at all, I didn’t feel the need to, but I knew if I needed, If I wanted to I knew they were always there.” [E] Unfortunately, those who were not aware of who to contact often bypassed primary care and rang or travelled to secondary care centres directly (Box 5).

**General practitioners require a greater understanding of the complexities of complex trauma patients and when secondary care referral is required**

Participants who required simple wound dressings or reviews appeared to have little problem with GP or primary care reviews. In participants who had wounds that were more complex, or developed complications, the reviewing GPs did not always understand, or have clear instructions regarding when to refer back to secondary care (Box 7). In one case this resulted in delayed referral with the participant losing confidence in the GP. Conversely in another case the participant felt that the GP was overly cautious when reviewing and referring back to secondary care. This caused friction between the
DISCUSSION
This research set out to identify patient needs from general practice following a traumatic injury. However, in doing so, it has highlighted some important issues relating to GP provision and also the discharge process and sick leave. The majority (6/9) of the participants interviewed had experiences of sick leave before admission to DMRC. The structural analysis supported by themes developed through thematic analysis has demonstrated that the majority of problems that patients face occur during this period of time. Sick leave taken after DMRC admission tended to be far more successful since participants had been stabilised on their medications, wounds had healed so did not require dressings, and the rehabilitation had improved the participants strength and functionality. Unfortunately, many participants appeared ill prepared for sick leave before admission to DMRC resulting in minor accidents, overexertion and mobility difficulties.

During the time between discharge from RCDM and admission to DMRC many participants had wounds (some of which were complex) that required regular medical reviews in primary care. Time spent travelling to these reviews often resulted in significant disruption to the participant, with GPs sometimes misunderstanding the significance of signs. This resulted in one participant’s readmission to hospital being delayed and their subsequent loss of trust in primary care. Better communication between secondary and primary care, and additional training for GPs to improve their understanding in the management of these complex patients may have prevented this. Several participants contacted secondary care or DMRC directly for advice and were unsure who to contact if they encountered a problem while on sick leave under the care of general practice. Changes to the patient passport document could improve patient knowledge and understanding about who to contact regarding their care while on sick leave and under the care of primary care. Currently, patients are provided with important information on the patient passport; but only three participants were aware of this. The patient passport is a two-sided A4 document, and patients have to sign to say that they have received it; however, it could easily be lost in the discharge process. Improving the identity of this document so that it is a booklet or folder, similar to those used by pregnant women, may reduce the chances of it getting lost. Highlighting the contact details of the local medical centre on the front of this may help patients to engage with primary care when needed. Including other important information, such as discharge summaries in this, would aid communication between secondary care and the GP especially if the GP is in the NHS and does not have access to the Defence Medical Information Capability Programme.

Sick leave has been shown to be important as it provides the patient with time to recover, and it can also help the families of those that have been injured. However, if sick leave is given at the wrong time it can create problems, increasing the stress for the family resulting in many of the difficulties previously discussed. Considering that most of the problems encountered by the participants on sick leave occurred between RCDM and DMRC, and that sick leave following an admission at DMRC was usually successful, reducing or removing the sick leave before admission to DMRC may reduce the scope for problems on leave. It may help to ensure patients’ first experience of sick leave is positive, enabling them to return ready for their next admission. To do this would require greater discharge planning between RCDM and DMRC.

Limitations of the study
The sample of patients used in this study was representative of the patients who were undergoing rehabilitation at DMRC at the time the study was conducted, so it may not be representative of all patients who have experienced rehabilitation through DMRC in recent years. The sample size was deliberately small given the nature of the project and the in-depth analysis. However, areas that have been highlighted in this research could be investigated further to include a greater proportion of the patient population.

Future research
This research focuses on patients’ perceived needs from general practice; an area for future research would be to ask GPs about the difficulties they face when treating these complex patients, and if there are areas in which additional training is required. It would be useful to identify if information is being communicated effectively from secondary care through to GPs. Such studies could then inform changes to the patient passport and discharge process.

Postscript
In January 2012, the discharge process at RCDM was changed so that patient sick leave was limited to a maximum of a weekend or night in a hotel before admission to Headley Court. This decision was taken independently of this research, but this research would support that decision. The patient passport has also been recognised to be deficient and not distinct enough, despite its importance being stressed to patients prior to discharge from RCDM. Work is now in progress to print the passport on card and in colour, and a patient folder is being designed to keep all patient documentation together, to reduce the chances of documents going missing, or patients not understanding who to call for assistance should they need to while on leave.
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