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ABSTRACT

Introduction Tranexamic acid (TXA) administration within the recommended time of 3 hours has been demonstrated to improve outcomes following trauma. The aim of this study was to identify potential knowledge gaps in the administration of TXA in order to target further educational training in those doctors responsible for the management of acute trauma.

Methods 104 military and 852 civilian doctors were invited to complete a four-item web-based questionnaire pertaining to the indications, dose, side effects and evidence base for TXA administration in trauma. Doctors of all grades and surgical specialties including emergency trainees and anaesthetics were surveyed.

Results 65 military and 460 civilian doctors responded with a response rate of 62% and 54%, respectively. Responses were required for every question to allow progression and submission. 93% of military doctors knew the initial dose of TXA compared with 34% of civilian doctors. The Clinical randomisation of an Antifibrinolytic in Significant Haemorrhage (CRASH) 2 trial was known to 91% of military doctors compared with 24% of civilian doctors. The optimal time for delivery of TXA in under 3 hours was correctly identified by 91% of military doctors compared with 10% by civilian doctors.

Discussion Military doctors are more familiar with TXA and its side effect profile. Given the potential impact of TXA on patient outcome and the findings of this study, further education of all doctors is recommended including dose, timing and potential side effects.

INTRODUCTION

Trauma is one of the leading causes of mortality in the teenage and young adult population.1 Worldwide, there are around 480 000 deaths annually attributable to major haemorrhage.2 Clotting is the mainstay of the body’s defence to any compromise to the vascular system resulting in a haemostatic response.3 Major trauma can lead to propagation of the ‘lethal triad’ of hypothermia, acidosis and coagulopathy.4 It is known that in trauma, fibrinolysis occurs and antifibrinolytic agents have been shown to reduce blood loss in major haemorrhage.5 Since the Clinical randomisation of an Antifibrinolytic in Significant Haemorrhage (CRASH) 2 trial, tranexamic acid (TXA) has become a mainstay in trauma and its use has been investigated in many other settings.6–8 Prompt TXA administration within 3 hours improves outcomes by facilitating damage control resuscitation and surgery.9 There is also evidence to suggest a reduction in mortality by up to 6.5%, and an increase in postoperative haemoglobin in trauma patients with major haemorrhage.9 10 Similarly, there is increasing evidence that TXA can be used in major elective procedures.11–14

The aim of this study was to explore the TXA knowledge of civilian and military doctors in the use of TXA.

METHODS

One hundred and four military and 852 civilian doctors were invited to complete a four-item web-based questionnaire pertaining to the indications, dose, side effects and evidence base for TXA administration in trauma. The survey was sent in equal proportions to three groups which included Consultants, Registrars and Foundation Year (FY)1-Core Trainee (CT)2 (Juniors). The first military group were elements from Defence Medical Group North, elements of 16 Close Support Medical Regiment including the reserve squadron, 144 Parachute Medical squadron and Doctors from the ‘Northern Deaneery’. Participants were asked to complete the survey alone and any time over 2 min were
excluded to prevent the potential bias of respondents searching educational resources for the correct answers. Participants were asked to identify themselves as either military or civilian, but otherwise, anonymity was maintained.

Participation in the survey implied consent and the proforma was derived from expected knowledge for prescription of the drug. The survey consisted of four questions: (1) What was the name of the study that demonstrated the efficacy of TXA in major trauma? (2) From memory, what is the dose of TXA in major trauma? (3) What is the optimum time to administer TXA and, finally, (4) What are the potential side effects of TXA administration? The survey questions were chosen based on the practical implications and drug safety profile which a prescriber is expected to be aware of to administer a drug. The CRASH 2 trial was also included in the study as this is the most cited and landmark paper regarding TXA administration and the strongest level of evidence for the drug use. All responses were anonymous and the project was an audit of current clinical practice and thus exempted from ethical review.

RESULTS

Responses were received from 65 military and 460 civilian doctors, with a response rate of 62% and 54%, respectively. Ninety-three per cent of military doctors (n = 61) knew the initial dose of TXA compared with 34% (n = 287) of civilian doctors. There was an awareness of the CRASH 2 trial in 91% (n = 59) of military respondents, and 24% (n = 202) of civilian doctors.

Ninety-one per cent of military doctors (n = 59) knew the correct time of administration of less than 3 hours compared with 10% (n = 84) of civilian doctors. Venous thromboembolism was the most frequently recognised side effect by both military (71%, n = 57) and civilian doctors (52%, n = 445). Military doctors recognised renal impairment (52%, n = 34) as a complication, compared with only 4% of civilian doctors (n = 33) and similarly, anaphylaxis (57%, n = 37%) and 1%, n = 8).

Civilian doctors were derived from Emergency medicine (40%), Anaesthetics (53%) and surgery (7%). No breakdown of specialties was available for the military doctors.

DISCUSSION

This survey highlights that many doctors’ knowledge of TXA administration in a major trauma setting is limited. This is important due to TXA being established as a mainstay of trauma treatment. Military doctors are more familiar with the drug and its side effect profile, which may be due to differences in training and exposure. This is as expected given the frequency of use among clinicians in the military who have served on operational duty. Given the impact of TXA and the findings in this study, it is clear that we would recommend further training in the indications, administration and side effects of TXA given in trauma.

We recognise potential limitations of this study, including that the sample consisted of doctors from one area in England and, second, the response rate for the study was lower from civilian doctors which potentially limits extrapolation of the findings. There is a need for these findings to be repeated in a larger study across a much broader geographical area to examine whether knowledge of TXA is being disseminated and to ascertain if these findings are comparable to other training regions.
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