Sex, military occupation and rank are associated with risk of anterior cruciate ligament injury in tactical-athletes
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury is common within the US military and represents a significant loss to readiness. Since recent changes to operational tempo, there has not been an analysis of ACL injury risk. The aim of this retrospective cohort study was to evaluate military occupation, sex, rank and branch of service on ACL injury risk in the US military from 2006 to 2018.

Methods The Defense Medical Epidemiology Database was queried for the number of US tactical athletes with International Classification of Diseases diagnosis codes 717.83 (old disruption of ACL), 844.2 (sprain of knee cruciate ligament), M23.61 (other spontaneous disruption of ACL) and S83.51 (sprain of ACL of knee) on their initial encounter. Relative risk and \( \chi^2 \) statistics were calculated to assess sex and military occupation effects on ACL injury. A multivariable negative binomial regression model evaluated changes in ACL injury incidence with respect to sex, branch of service and rank.

Results The study period displayed a significant decrease in the ACL injury rate at 0.18 cases per 1000 person-years or relative decrease of 4.08% each year (\( p<0.001 \)) after averaging over the main and interactive effects of sex, rank and branch of service. The interaction effect of time with sex indicated a steeper decline in the incidence in men as compared with women. The risk of ACL injury by sex was modified by rank. The incidence among military personnel varied by occupation.

Conclusion Despite the decline among tactical athletes over time, rates of ACL injury remain much higher than the general US population. Sex, rank, branch of service and military occupation were found to be risk factors for ACL injury. It is critical for policy makers to understand the salient risk factors for ACL injury to guide proactive prophylactic measures to prevent injury.

Key messages

- Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury is a known command readiness issue in the military, and there is recent evidence of this within subpopulations of the military.
- Sex, rank, branch of service and military occupation have been found to be risk factors for ACL injury.
- There was a 4.08% decrease in the incidence of ACL injuries between the years 2006 and 2018.
- The rate of decrease was higher in men when considering rank and branch of service.
- The relationship of ACL injury incidence and sex was modified by rank.
- It is critical for policy makers to understand the salient risk factors for ACL injury to guide appropriate prophylactic measures to prevent injury.

Questions for future research

- Does integration of military rehabilitation specialists into patient-centred medical homes and operational units affect anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury risk, rehabilitation volume and return to duty rates?
- Does integration of female tactical athletes in combat arms affect ACL injury incidence?
- What are the granular modifiable and non-modifiable intrinsic risk factors that mediate ACL injury outcomes in military tactical athlete?

INTRODUCTION

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury in military members (also referred to as tactical athletes) is estimated to be 10 times that of the civilian population, \(^{1, 2} \) which is comparable to the rate of injury in professional or elite athletes. \(^{3} \) Previous epidemiological studies of ACL injury in the military population have focused on specific communities such as the US Naval Special Warfare community\(^ {3} \) and midshipmen at the US Naval Academy\(^ {3} \); however, these groups are highly active and not representative of the typical military member. In the first military-wide population study assessing ACL injury, Owens et al\(^ {4} \) reported the incidence in the US military was 6.6 per 1000 person-years from 1993 to 2003. The burden of these injuries threaten command readiness, \(^ {5} \) especially during periods of increased operational requirements. Since the end of the study period investigated by Owens et al\(^ {4} \) coincided with the beginning of the conflicts Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) in 2001 and Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) in 2003, an updated estimate of ACL injury incidence in the US military is needed.

Each service branch comprises an array of diverse military occupations, each of which have unique physical requirements and hazards that may influence injury rates. An overview of military occupational specialties can be viewed at https://bitly/MilOcc. Furthermore, rank may also be a factor due to changes in type and amount of exposure to potential hazards.
throughout a military career. While Antosh et al.1 investigated rank as a factor for return to duty after ACL reconstruction, there is a
dearth of evidence pertaining to this as a contributing factor to ACL
injuries in military tactical athletes.

Finally, rescission of the ‘1994 Direct Ground Combat Definition
and Assignment Rule’ in January 20138 fostered the integration of
women into military occupations that were previously closed to
them, such as combat arms. The Secretary of Defense issued a
memorandum to direct all branches to execute their plans to fully
implement women into all occupational specialties no later than 1
April 2016.6 Since this time, it is unclear how this policy has affected
the incidence of ACL injury by sex within occupational specialties.
Due to changes in force composition and operational requirements,
an updated assessment of the factors associated with ACL injury risk
in the tactical athlete is warranted. Therefore, the aim of this epide-
miological retrospective cohort study was to evaluate the effects of
military occupation, sex, rank and service branch on the risk of ACL
injury in the US military from 2006 to 2018.

METHODS
A population-based epidemiological retrospective cohort study of
tactical athletes in the US Armed Forces was performed to assess
the risk of sex, rank, service branch and military occupation on the
incidence of ACL injury. The Defense Medical Epidemiological
Database (DMED), Defense Health Agency, Falls Church, Virginia,
USA, https://bit.ly/DHADMEDv5 was used to identify relevant
healthcare encounters. This database provides aggregated data for
International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9 and ICD-10 codes
and de-identified patient characteristics, including sex, rank, cate-
gories of military occupations and service branch for all active and
reserve tactical athletes. The database does not include any personal
identifiable or personal health information and has been used previ-
ously for epidemiological study of lower extremity injury in the
military.1 10 11

The database was queried for the number of distinct patients with
a primary diagnosis of ACL injury (717.83 (old disruption of ACL),
844.2 (sprain of knee cruciate ligament), M23.61 (other sponta-
neous disruption of ACL) and S83.51 (sprain of ACL of knee)) on
their initial encounter from 2006 to 2018. Individuals with repeat
visits for the same diagnosis were only counted once in all analyses.

Cumulative incidence of ACL injury in male and female tactical
athletes, enlisted members and officers, in each service branch
(Army, Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force) and occupational cate-
gory (enlisted specialties: special operations forces, mechanized/
armour, artillery/gunnery, aviation, engineers, maintenance, admin-
istration, intelligence and communication, logistics and maritime/
naval specialties; officer specialties: aviation, engineering and
administration, intelligence and operations, intelligence and services) were calculated. Since military end strength fluctuations
annually due to attrition and recruitment of replacements,12 13 the
population at risk was a dynamic cohort. Incidence was calcu-
lated from the sum of ACL injuries and population at risk in the
13-year study epoch. Relative risk (RR) point estimates and 95% CIs,
risk difference, attributable risk (AR), number needed to harm
(NNH) and χ2 statistics were calculated to assess the effects of sex
and military occupational category. The preceding calculations
were performed using Microsoft Excel for Mac 2016 (Microsoft,
Redmond, Washington, USA).

A multivariable negative binomial regression was performed to
evaluate time trends with respect to ACL injury incidence and
included the factors of sex, rank and branch of service. Average
marginal effects of predictor variables in the model were esti-
 rates for ease of interpretation. Due to overdispersion of the data
indicated by the likelihood ratio test demonstrating that alpha is
significantly different from zero, the negative binomial model was
 chosen over the Poisson regression model.14 The regression analysis
was performed using Stata 16 software (StataCorp, College Station,
Texas, USA).

Male tactical athletes were the reference group in the assessment
of sex. Enlisted personnel served the reference group for rank due
to the greater disease and non-battle injuries in this group compared
with commissioned officers.15 Enlisted infantry and ground and
naval gunfire officer groups were the reference in the assessment
of occupational risk due to relatively higher physical requirements
and organisational prioritisation of these occupational categories.13 15

Finally, the army was the reference group for service branch due
to the population size and injury rates compared with the other
services.16 The level of significance was p<0.05 for all analyses, and
no adjustments were made for multiplicity. RR point estimates were
considered statistically significant if CIs did not cross 1.00.

RESULTS
From 2006 to 2018, 59555 enlisted male officers sustained ACL
injuries (4.8 per 1000 person-years) and 8350 enlisted female offi-
cers sustained ACL injuries (3.9 per 1000 person-years) for a total
of 67 905 enlisted member ACL injuries across the services (4.6 per
1000 person-years). During the same time period, 9983 male offi-
cers sustained ACL injuries (4.0 per 1000 person-years) and 2198
female officers sustained ACL injuries (4.5 per 1000 person-years)
for a total of 12181 officer ACL injuries across the services (4.1
per 1000 person-years). Tables 1 and 2 display the counts and inci-
dence of ACL injury. Online supplemental tables 1–4 detail the ACL
injury counts, population at risk and injury rates in male and female
enlisted members and officers.

ACL injury rates decreased over time during the study epoch
(Figure 1). Male officer incidence decreased from 5.2 (95% CI: 4.9
to 5.5) to 2.7 (95% CI: 2.5 to 2.9) per 1000 person-years, female officer incidence decreased from 5.1 (95% CI: 4.3 to 5.9) to 3.7
(95% CI: 3.1 to 4.3) per 1000 person-years, enlisted male incidence
decreased from 5.9 (95% CI: 5.7 to 6.1) to 3.3 (95% CI: 3.2 to
3.4) per 1000 person-years and enlisted female incidence decreased
from 4.5 (95% CI: 4.2 to 4.8) to 2.8 (95% CI: 2.6 to 3.0) per 1000
person-years. Of note, the increase in incidence seen by female offi-
cers in the US Marines during 2010 and 2016 may potentially coin-
cide with the changes in physical fitness standards for the Combat
Fitness Test in the US Marine Corps. There is a plausible influence
that the changes in standards may have resulted in overengagement
in risk-taking activity to meet these standards.

Table 3 reports the risk of ACL injury by sex, with females refer-
ced to males within their respective rank (officer vs enlisted) and
occupation. The risk of ACL injury in female officers was 1.14 times
that of their male colleagues (95% CI: 1.09 to 1.19; AR: 12.0%;
NHN: 1836; p<0.01) and was greater in aviation, administration
and operations and intelligence occupations (RR: 1.16–1.39; AR:
14.0%–28.1%; NNH: 617–1650; p<0.05) compared with male
officers. The risk of ACL injury in female enlisted members was
0.82 times that of their male colleagues (95% CI: 0.80 to 0.83; AR:
22.7%; NNH: 1134; p<0.01) and was lower in maintenance,
administration, intelligence and communication, logistics and
.maritime/nautical specialties compared with male enlisted members
(RR: 0.61–0.83; AR: −64% to −20.9%; NNH: −1173 to −523;
p<0.01).

Results of the assessment of occupation on ACL injury risk are
detailed in Table 4. Enlisted personnel in aviation, maintenance,
administration, intelligence, communication and maritime/nautical
specialties had a 0.81–0.93 lower risk compared with infantry
### Table 1
Count and incidence of anterior cruciate ligament sprains among officers in the US Armed Forces, 2006–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Officer specialty</th>
<th>Ground and naval gunfire</th>
<th>Aviation</th>
<th>Engineering and maintenance</th>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Operations and Intelligence</th>
<th>Logistics</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marines</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Count and incidence of anterior cruciate ligament sprains among enlisted members in the US Armed Forces, 2006–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enlisted specialty</th>
<th>Special operations forces</th>
<th>Infantry</th>
<th>Mechanised Armour</th>
<th>Artillery/Gunnery</th>
<th>Aviation</th>
<th>Engineers</th>
<th>Maintenance</th>
<th>Administration, intelligence and communication</th>
<th>Logistics</th>
<th>Maritime/Naval specialists</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marines</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>6360</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cumulative incidence (per 1000 person-years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupations codes</th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
<th>Marines</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marines</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

F, female; M, male.
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Figure 1  Anterior cruciate ligament injury incidence among male and female enlisted members and officers, US Armed Forces, 2006–2018.

Injury rates over time

The decrease in incidence of ACL injury likely represents a real trend with a potential contributing factor of changes in the operational tempo in the US Armed Forces over the study epoch. This could be plausibly explained by a decreased exposure to hazards with the decline of operational demands. The higher rates of ACL injury at the beginning of this study in 2006 represents a time of increased military operations with a high frequency of multiple deployments involved in OIF and OEF. Activity for these campaigns peaked in 2008,17 and the campaigns concluded for OIF in 2011 and OEF in 2014.18 Changes in coding may explain a small portion of this change as the ICD-10 coding transition was mandated to occur in October 2015. However, the decline in ACL injury rates occurred prior to this timepoint. While there should be a direct mapping of the codes used in this study, it is unclear how injury data for this study may have been affected.

Sex and rank

Enlisted members, on average, had higher rates of ACL injury, but the risk of ACL injury by sex demonstrated an effect modification depending on rank. Female officers had a higher risk compared with male officers regardless of occupation. This was contrasted by enlisted females who demonstrated lower risk compared with enlisted males regardless of occupation. The prior population-based study by Owens et al1 did not stratify by rank, which potentially masked risk differences between male and female officers.

The relationship between sex and rank is noteworthy when compared with what is reported in the athlete population. Accounting for the relative numbers of male and female athletes, incidence of ACL injury is higher in female athletes.19 Furthermore, when highly active groups of tactical athletes were studied, higher incidence of ACL injury was reported in female tactical athletes.2 It was surprising that the relative risk of ACL injury was lower in female enlisted personnel compared with their male counterparts regardless of occupation for this younger group20 within the military. This finding, when considered with the injury reduction observed in officers, challenges the assumption that younger, physically active female officers are at higher risk for ACL injury.

DISCUSSION

The primary finding of this study was the significant decrease in ACL injury rates over time regardless of sex, rank or branch of service, with injury rates declining at a steeper rate in male compared with female tactical athletes. The findings that ACL injury risk was modified by rank and occupation in male and female tactical athletes are the first to our knowledge to be reported.
### Table 3  ACL injury risk by sex in members of the US Armed Forces, 2006–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enlisted specialty</th>
<th>Artillery/Gunnery</th>
<th>Aviation</th>
<th>Engineers</th>
<th>Maintenance</th>
<th>Administration, intelligence and communication</th>
<th>Logistics</th>
<th>Maritime/Naval specialties</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.93 to 1.37)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.75 to 1.36)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.79 to 1.58)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.79 to 0.87)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.61 to 0.37)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.77 to 0.87)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.48 to 0.77)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.80 to 0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk difference (per 1000 person-years)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>−1.9</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>−1.8</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR (%)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>−20.9</td>
<td>−56.2</td>
<td>−21.8</td>
<td>−64</td>
<td>−22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNH</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>16600</td>
<td>1586</td>
<td>−1173</td>
<td>−523</td>
<td>−1027</td>
<td>−558</td>
<td>−1134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk difference (per 1000 person-years)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR (%)</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNH</td>
<td>−4631</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>2185</td>
<td>4924</td>
<td>1836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Female service members referenced to male members. AR, attributable risk; NNH, number needed to harm; RR, relative risk.

### Table 4  ACL injury risk by occupation in members of the US Armed Forces, 2006–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enlisted specialty*</th>
<th>Special operation forces</th>
<th>Mechanised/Armour</th>
<th>Artillery/Gunnery</th>
<th>Aviation</th>
<th>Engineers</th>
<th>Maintenance</th>
<th>Administration, intelligence and communication</th>
<th>Logistics</th>
<th>Maritime/Naval specialties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.10 to 1.29)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.97 to 1.13)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.01 to 1.12)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.86 to 1.00)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.98 to 1.11)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.90 to 0.95)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.90 to 0.95)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.97 to 1.04)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.75 to 0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk difference (per 1000 person-years)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR (%)</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>−23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNH</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>3915</td>
<td>2827</td>
<td>−2576</td>
<td>4635</td>
<td>−2564</td>
<td>−2571</td>
<td>−78292</td>
<td>−1002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk difference (per 1000 person-years)</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR (%)</td>
<td>−12.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>−6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>−8.2</td>
<td>−8.2</td>
<td>−8.2</td>
<td>−8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNH</td>
<td>−2127</td>
<td>4581</td>
<td>−3984</td>
<td>3479</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>−3187</td>
<td>−3187</td>
<td>−3187</td>
<td>−3187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Contrasted to enlisted infantry. Refereced to ground and naval gunfire offices. AR, attributable risk; NNH, number needed to harm; RR, relative risk.
Officer and enlisted males are participating in activities outside of their military occupation that are increasing the risk for ACL injury in this group. As women increasingly enter into occupations that were previously closed to them, it is plausible that the findings of sex, rank and military occupation as factors for ACL injury. There are also important limitations associated with this study due to inherent constraints associated with DMED. While using initial encounters used to generate the data in this study are more likely to represent the true incidence. Due to the severity of an acute ACL injury, bias due to healthcare utilisation is not likely to have a large impact on the study results. However, even with ACL injury, barriers to seeking care by tactical athletes should be considered. Fear that future career opportunities may be negatively affected is a concern that may result in under-reporting of injuries. Additional reasons that may affect reporting include the service member’s perception of the convenience and quality of medical care they will receive. The cultural environment of the military reinforces the desire to put aside pain associated with an injury to ensure that the mission is completed and to avoid the negative perceptions associated with injury. It is also plausible that under-reporting may disproportionately affect certain occupations more than others.

### Social determinants
ACL injury is not typically self-limiting, and the billed medical encounters used to generate the data in this study are more likely to represent the true incidence. Due to the severity of an acute ACL injury, bias due to healthcare utilisation is not likely to have a large impact on the study results. However, even with ACL injury, barriers to seeking care by tactical athletes should be considered. Fear that future career opportunities may be negatively affected is a concern that may result in under-reporting of injuries. Additional reasons that may affect reporting include the service member’s perception of the convenience and quality of medical care they will receive. The cultural environment of the military reinforces the desire to put aside pain associated with an injury to ensure that the mission is completed and to avoid the negative perceptions associated with injury. It is also plausible that under-reporting may disproportionately affect certain occupations more than others.

### Clinical and research implications
This study highlights significant trends of ACL injury in regard to sex, occupation, rank, branch of service and changes over time. Specific hazards and exposures associated with military occupations should be explored in order to mitigate the risks. This is especially critical in communities such as Special Operations Forces, where a relatively smaller number of specialised tactical athletes must perform highly demanding physical tasks that are crucial to mission accomplishment. Surveillance of ACL injury should continue as the percentage of women in previously restricted combat roles grows. It is essential for policymakers to understand the salient factors associated with ACL injury in the military and within subpopulations, so appropriate prophylaxis and injury management can be planned. As rehabilitation specialists across the military continue to be incorporated into patient-centred medical homes and assigned to operational units, the effect on injury risk, rehabilitation and return to duty rates should be investigated.
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The DMED allows for a population-based analysis which provides the best estimation of ACL injury incidence to be captured based on billed medical encounters. This permitted the calculation of sex as a non-modifiable intrinsic factor and exploration of time, rank, branch of service and military occupation as factors for ACL injury. There are also important limitations associated with this study due to inherent constraints associated with DMED. While using initial encounters allowed for the calculation of incidence, this study is also limited in the ability to capture laterality of an injury, and a new injury on the contralateral side may not be counted as such. Salient factors associated with ACL injury that have been identified in tactical athletes are unable to be measured with this database, to include factors such as medical history or body mass index. Finally, a limitation of the diagnosis code 844.2 (sprain of knee cruciate ligament) is the inclusion of posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) injuries.
However, PCL injuries are relatively scarce in comparison to ACL injuries and would likely only add minimal bias to the overall results of this study.

CONCLUSION

Sex, rank, branch of service and military occupation have been found to be risk factors for ACL injury. There was a statistically significant decrease in the incidence of ACL injuries among tactical athletes in the US Armed Forces between the years 2006 and 2018 at an average rate of 0.18 cases per 1000 person-years or a 4.08% relative reduction each year. The rate of decrease was higher in male tactical athletes when considering rank and branch of service. The relationship of ACL injury incidence and sex was modified by rank. It is plausible that the physical demands and opportunity for exposure within specific military occupations in the enlisted and officer communities may play a role in the differences in ACL injury incidence among occupations reported in this study. Despite the decline in incidence among tactical athletes in the US military over time, the rates of ACL injury still remain higher than the civilian population.
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