

Sleep and adaptation disorders in military personnel with blast injury

Kateryna Sarazhyna , O Ruskykh, I Serbin, Y Solodovnikova, A Son

Sleep and adaptation disorders are common in traumatic brain injury (TBI) resulting from blast injuries (BIs) and can significantly complicate the recovery, leading to delayed neurocognitive, psychiatric and behavioural disorders.^{1,2} Nevertheless, auditory disorders and tinnitus after repeated TBIs significantly worsen and affect daily activity through impaired sleep quality, irritability, anxiety and difficulties with concentration.³⁻⁵ We conducted this study to analyse the characteristics of sleep and adaptation disorders in military personnel with BIs.

Data were collected from October 2023 to April 2024 among 35 military personnel with BIs aged between 22 and 56 years (mean=31, SD=33.9), undergoing treatment at the University Clinic, with the exclusion of patients who had sleep disorders before the injury and included only military personnel who had sustained a mild TBI and were in the acute phase of their recovery. Sleep quality was assessed using the modified Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). A sleep quality score of 0–7 points was considered satisfactory, scoring above 7 points was categorised as having poor sleep quality. Adaptation disorders were identified using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). On the HADS, scores above 11 were considered significant and indicative of clinical manifestations of adaptation disorder. Participants were divided into three groups: group 1—BI with TBI, barotrauma and tinnitus (n=5, 14.3%); group 2—BI with TBI without tinnitus (n=14, 40%); group 3—control group, BI without TBI (n=16, 45.7%).

Table 1 presents summary data from a study involving three separate groups, detailing measures of anxiety, depression and sleep quality.

The Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to assess the normality of data distribution to three variables (HADS anxiety, HADS depression, PSQI) across the groups, revealing: group 1: HADS anxiety

Table 1 Descriptive analysis

Groups	Mean HADS anxiety	SD HADS anxiety	Mean HADS depression	SD HADS depression	Mean PSQI	SD PSQI	Mean age	Percentage
1	11.60	5.37	15.20	2.39	14.80	1.30	35.40	14.29
2	8.00	3.55	9.07	3.85	11.36	2.71	36.07	40.00
3	6.50	2.92	6.81	3.47	6.69	2.15	31.50	45.71

HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.

Table 2 Post hoc tests

Comparison	Mean difference HADS anxiety	P values (Tukey)	Mean difference HADS depression	P values (Tukey)	Mean difference PSQI	P values (Tukey)
Group 1 vs group 2	3.60	0.145	6.13	0.006	3.44	0.020
Group 1 vs group 3	5.10	0.023	8.39	<001	8.11	<001
Group 2 vs group 3	1.50	0.492	2.26	0.201	4.67	<001

HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.

p=0.502; HADS depression p=0.294; PSQI p=0.421. Group 2: HADS anxiety p=0.192; HADS depression p=0.909; PSQI p=0.091. Group 3: HADS anxiety p=0.114; HADS depression p=0.628; PSQI p=0.896. We used analysis of variance to compare the quality among the groups that fit the normal distribution profile. HADS Anxiety: F-statistic=3.92, p=0.030. HADS Depression: F-statistic=10.85, p=0.00025. PSQI Sleep Quality: F-statistic=29.27, p=5.92e-08. To determine the differences between specific groups, we used post hoc tests, specifically the Tukey test (table 2).

The results confirm that tinnitus correlates with a significant increase in anxiety and depression with significant differences in sleep quality between the groups (F-statistic=29.27, p<0.001). A potential negative impact of tinnitus on the psychological state of military personnel who have sustained TBI has been identified. Tinnitus can be considered an independent factor in worsening sleep quality and adaptation. The main limitation of our study is the relatively small sample size (n=35) and the inclusion of only men in the study group. This suggests that that should be considered when assessing the condition of patients with various forms of BI.

X Kateryna Sarazhyna @katjasara

Contributors KS is the main author and guarantor responsible for writing the manuscript. OR performed the calculations and assisted in writing the manuscript. IS conducted data collection and contributed to analysing and interpreting data for the work. YS agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work, ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. AS provided final approval for the published version.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by Local Ethics Committee (Odessa National Medical University) N 0842/27 dated 14 March 2024. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Neurology and Neurosurgery, Odessa National Medical University, Odessa, Ukraine

Correspondence to Kateryna Sarazhyna; katarazina@gmail.com



OPEN ACCESS

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<https://doi.org/10.1136/military-2024-002793>).



To cite Sarazhyna K, Ruskykh O, Serbin I, *et al*. *BMJ Mil Health* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/military-2024-002793

Received 26 May 2024

Accepted 20 August 2024

BMJ Mil Health 2024;**0**:1–2.

doi:10.1136/military-2024-002793

ORCID iD

Kateryna Sarazhyna <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3332-1743>

REFERENCES

- 1 Sandsmark DK, Elliott JE, Lim MM. Sleep-Wake Disturbances After Traumatic Brain Injury: synthesis of Human and Animal Studies. *Sleep* 2017;40:zsx044.
- 2 Osborn AJ, Mathias JL, Fairweather-Schmidt AK. Prevalence of anxiety following adult traumatic brain injury: a meta-analysis comparing measures, samples and postinjury intervals. *Neuropsychology* 2016;30:247–61.
- 3 Shao N, Skotak M, Pendyala N, *et al*. Temporal Changes in Functional and Structural Neuronal Activities in Auditory System in Non-Severe Blast-Induced Tinnitus. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2023;59:1683.
- 4 Singh AK, Ditkofsky NG, York JD, *et al*. Blast Injuries: from Improvised Explosive Device Blasts to the Boston Marathon Bombing. *Radiographics* 2016;36:295–307.
- 5 Swan AA, Nelson JT, Swiger B, *et al*. Prevalence of hearing loss and tinnitus in Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans: a Chronic Effects of Neurotrauma Consortium study. *Hear Res* 2017;349:4–12.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) The title indicates a cohort study. Impact of Tinnitus on Anxiety, Depression, and Sleep Disorders in Military Personnel with Blast Injuries (b) The abstract includes introduction, methods, key results and conclusions.
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Blast injuries in military personnel often lead to various complications, including tinnitus, which may affect mental health and sleep quality.
Objectives	3	This study aims to determine the correlation between the presence of tinnitus and the levels of anxiety, depression, and the quality of sleep in military personnel with blast injuries.
Methods		
Study design	4	We designed a prospective cohort study to analyze the characteristics of sleep and adaptation disorders in military personnel who have suffered blast injuries. (Page 3)
Setting	5	This study involved a systematic collection of data from military personnel treated at the University Clinic of Odesa National Medical University from October 2023 to April 2024 (Page 3).
Participants	6	(a) The study surveyed 35 military personnel with blast injuries, aged between 22 and 56 years. We included only individuals who had sustained mild Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) and were in the acute phase of their recovery. Patients who had sleep disorders before their injury were excluded from the study (Page 3). (b) N/a
Variables	7	We measured anxiety, depression, and sleep quality. These were assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the modified Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) (Page 3).
Data sources/ measurement	8*	HADS used to assess the levels of anxiety and depression. Consists of 14 items, 7 for anxiety and 7 for depression, rated on a 4-point scale. PSQI includes individual items, combined into seven "component" scores that are summed to produce a global score (Page 3).
Bias	9	Selection Bias: Participants with pre-existing sleep disorders were excluded to avoid pre-bias. Information Bias: We used validated instruments (HADS and PSQI) to measure outcomes uniformly across all groups (Page 3).
Study size	10	Given the specific timeframe (October 2023 to April 2024) and the resources available, a cohort of 35 allowed for thorough data collection (Page 3).
Quantitative variables	11	Participants were grouped based on their injury and condition: Group 1 - BI with TBI, barotrauma, and tinnitus; Group 2 - BI with TBI without tinnitus; Group 3 - BI without TBI (control group). Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation) and inferential statistics (ANOVA, post-hoc tests) were used to compare these scores across groups (Page 4).
Statistical methods	12	(a) We used the Shapiro-Wilk test to assess the normality of the distribution of each variable and ANOVA was used to compare mean scores of anxiety, depression, and sleep quality across the three groups. Post-hoc Tukey tests were applied to identify specific group differences (Page 4-5). (b) ANOVA to understand how these factors jointly influence outcomes (Page 4). (c) N/a (d) N/a (e) N/a

Results

Participants	13*	<p>(a) 35 participants were finally included in the study after ensuring they met all criteria and after obtaining informed consent.</p> <p>(b) Military personnel with different types of injuries were not examined as they were identified as not meeting the injury-type criteria based on preliminary screening.</p> <p>(c) N/a</p>
Descriptive data	14*	<p>(a) The participants' ages ranged from 22 to 56 years, with a mean age of 31 years. All participants were male. Injury Type: 14 participants had blast injuries with TBI and without tinnitus, and 5 participants had blast injuries with TBI, barotrauma, and tinnitus. 16 participants were in the control group with blast injuries but no TBI (used as a comparative baseline). Severity of TBI: All participants with TBI had mild TBI, assessed by a Glasgow Coma Scale score of 13-15. No significant previous medical history that met the exclusion criteria, except the noted exclusions (Page 4).</p> <p>(b) N/a</p> <p>(c) 7 months</p>
Outcome data	15*	N/a
Main results	16	<p>(a) Group 1: mean HADS anxiety: 11.60. SD: 5.37 range: 3 – 17 p-value = 0.502 mean HADS depression: 15.20. SD: 2.39 range: 13 – 19 p-value = 0.294 mean PSQI: 14.8 SD: 1.30 range: 13 – 16 p-value = 0.421</p> <p>Group 2: mean HADS anxiety: 8.00 SD: 3.55 range: 3 – 13 p-value = 0.192 mean HADS depression: 9.07 SD : 3.85 range : 1 – 15 p-value = 0.909 mean PSQI: 11.3 SD: 2.71 range : 5 – 15 p-value = 0.091</p> <p>Group 3: mean HADS anxiety: 6.50 SD: 2.92 range: 2 – 12 p-value = 0.114 mean HADS depression: 6.81 SD: 3.47 range: 0 – 12 p-value = 0.628 mean PSQI: 6.69 SD: 2.15 range: 3 – 11 p-value = 0.896. (Page 4).</p> <p>(b) A sleep quality score of 0-7 points was considered satisfactory. Those scoring above 7 points were categorized as having poor sleep quality. On the HADS scale, scores above 11 were considered significant and indicative of clinical manifestations of adaptation disorder.</p> <p>(c) N/a</p>
Other analyses	17	<p>ANOVA:</p> <p>HADS Anxiety: F-statistic: 3.92, p-value: 0.030</p> <p>HADS Depression: F-statistic: 10.85 p-value: 0.00025</p> <p>PSQI: F-statistic: 29.27 p-value: 5.92e-08</p> <p>Post-hoc test:</p> <p>Group 1 vs Group 2: HADS anxiety: mean Difference = 3.60, p(Tukey) = 0.145</p> <p>HADS depression: mean Difference = 6.13, p(Tukey) = 0.006 PSQI: mean Difference = 3.44, p(Tukey) = 0.020</p> <p>Group 1 vs Group 3 HADS anxiety: mean Difference = 5.10, p(Tukey) = 0.023</p> <p>HADS depression: mean Difference = 8.39, p(Tukey) = <0.001 PSQI: mean Difference = 8.11, p(Tukey) = <0.001</p> <p>Group 2 vs Group 3: HADS anxiety: mean Difference = 1.50, p (Tukey) = 0.492.</p> <p>HADS depression: mean Difference = 2.26, p (Tukey) = 0.201. PSQI: mean</p>

Difference = 4.67, p(Tukey) = <0.001 (page 5)

Discussion		
Key results	18	A statistically significant difference in the level of anxiety was found between Group 1 and Group 3, where Group 1 exhibited higher levels of anxiety. Significant differences in depression levels were observed between Group 1 and both Group 2 and Group 3. Group 1 displayed higher levels of depression. As for sleep quality, it varied significantly among all three groups. Group 1 had the poorest sleep quality compared to the other two groups, while the control group exhibited better sleep quality when compared to Groups 1 and 2(page 5) .
Limitations	19	The main limitation of our study is the relatively small sample size (n = 35) and the inclusion of only men in the study group, which may affect the generalizability and the accuracy of the estimate (page 5).
Interpretation	20	The presented results confirm that the presence of tinnitus correlates with a significant increase in levels of anxiety, depression and sleep quality. Tinnitus can be considered an independent factor in worsening sleep quality and adaptation (page 5).
Generalisability	21	The findings are most directly applicable to male military personnel who have experienced mild blast injuries. Future research should aim to include populations that are more diverse.
Other information		
Funding	22	The publication of this article has not received funding.
Ethics statement		This study involves human participants and was approved by Local Ethics Committee in the Odessa National Medical University N 0842/27 dated 14.Mar 2024
